

# LEFROY BROOK CATCHMENT AREA DRINKING WATER SOURCE PROTECTION ASSESSMENT

PEMBERTON TOWN WATER SUPPLY



2004

# Acknowledgements

This ASSESSMENT was prepared by the Infrastructure Planning Branch of the Water Corporation with guidance from the Resource Quality Branch of the Department of Environment.

Contribution	Personnel	Title	Organisation
Project Direction	Peter Coghlan	Supervising Engineer	Water Corporation
Report preparation	Genevieve Mannin	Scientific Officer	Water Corporation
Drafting	Dean Oates	Planning Information Officer	Water Corporation
Project Liaison	Nigel Mantle	Senior Resource Protection Officer, Water Source Protection Branch	Department of Environment

For more information on the Pemberton Town Water Supply contact:

## Water Corporation

Infrastructure Planning Branch  
629 Newcastle Street  
LEEDERVILLE WA 6007

Telephone: (08) 9420 2380  
Facsimile: (08) 9420 3179

For more information on the Water Source Protection process contract:

## Department of Environment

Water Source Protection Branch  
Department of Environment  
3 Plain Street  
EAST PERTH WA 6004

Telephone: (08) 9278 0300  
Facsimile: (08) 9278 0585

*Printed on recyclable stock  
July, 2004*

*Cover Photograph: Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir [taken by Genevieve Mannin]*

---

# Purpose of this Assessment

A safe drinking water supply is critical to the wellbeing of the community. Effective catchment protection is fundamental to minimising risks to public health and the cost of supplying water to consumers.

This document presents an initial assessment of the risks to water quality in Lefroy Brook Catchment Area. It is the first stage in the production of a Drinking Water Source Protection Plan for the catchment.

The Water Corporation is committed to supplying the safest drinking water to its customers that is practicable. It recognises protecting Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSA - i.e. surface water and groundwater catchments) is the most critical component of its Drinking Water Quality Management System. Statutory responsibility for managing PDWSA in Western Australia belongs to the Department of Environment (DoE) and for public health with the Department of Health (DoH). The Water Corporation, as water service provider, has a responsibility to work with both organisations to protect drinking water supplies.

The Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG), developed by the National Health and Medical Research Council provide a framework for management of drinking water quality, and propose a multiple barrier ('catchment to consumer') approach as the most effective method of protecting drinking water. Management of the drinking water source catchment is considered the first important barrier and involves:

1. Understanding the catchment, and the hazards and events that can compromise drinking water quality; and
2. Developing and implementing preventive strategies and operational controls necessary to ensure the safest possible raw water supply (i.e. before treatment).

Western Australia is meeting the ADWG framework by producing Drinking Water Source Protection Plans (DWSPP) for all PDWSAs. This process involves:

Stages in development of a DWSPP	Comment
<b>1 Prepare Drinking Water Source Protection Assessment</b>	<b>Assessment document prepared following catchment survey and preliminary information gathering from State and Local Government Agency stakeholders.</b>
2 Conduct stakeholder consultation	Advice sought from key stakeholders using the Assessment as a tool for background information and discussion.
3 Prepare Draft DWSPP	Draft DWSPP developed taking into account inputs from stakeholders and any additional advice received.
4 Release Draft DWSPP for public comment	Draft DWSPP released for a six week public consultation period.
5 Publish DWSPP	Final DWSPP published after considering advice received in submissions on the Draft. Includes recommendations on how to protect the drinking water catchment.

DoE requested the Water Corporation undertake Stage 1 and prepare this assessment document because it is the licensed water service provider for Pemberton Town Water Supply. The Water Corporation has a good understanding of the water quality issues in the PDWSA and a strong desire to ensure water quality is protected. DoE will undertake Stages 2 to 5.

This PDWSA should be recognised in the Shire of Manjimup Town Planning Scheme, consistent with the Western Australian Planning Commission's Statement of Planning Policy No. 2.7 - *Public Drinking Water Source Policy*. Where a DWSPP has not been completed for a PDWSA, State and Local Government planners should use this assessment document together with the DoE's Water Quality Protection Note – *Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas* when planning or approving land use developments and activities proposed within current or future PDWSAs. Other stakeholders should use the document as a guide for protecting the quality of water in our limited drinking water catchments.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Drinking water supply system overview</b>	<b>1</b>		
1.1	Existing water supply system	1		
1.2	Water treatment	1		
1.3	Catchment details	1		
1.3.1	Physiography	1		
1.3.2	Climate	1		
1.3.3	Hydrology	3		
1.4	Future water supply requirements	3		
1.5	Alternative water sources	3		
1.6	Protection and allocation	3		
1.6.1	Existing water source protection	3		
1.6.2	Current allocation licence	3		
<b>2</b>	<b>Water quality</b>	<b>5</b>		
<b>3</b>	<b>Hazard identification and risk assessment</b>	<b>7</b>		
3.1	Existing land uses	7		
3.2	Proposed land uses	8		
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>26</b>		
	<b>References</b>	<b>27</b>		
	<b>Glossary and Acronyms</b>	<b>28</b>		
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>30</b>		
Appendix 1	DoE WQPN Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas ..	31		
Appendix 2	Water Quality .....	45		
Appendix 3	Examples of Protection Strategies.....	48		
Appendix 4	DoE WQPN Protecting Public Drinking Water Source Areas.....	51		
			<b>Photographs</b>	
			Photo 1	Lefroy Brook Weir ..... 4
			Photo 2	Big Brook Dam – looking toward the dam wall from the beach ..... 4
			Photo 3	Big Brook Dam reservoir – looking from dam wall towards swimming beach..... 5
			Photo 4	Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam catchment aerial..... 23
			Photo 5	Rainbow Trail (Bibbulmun Track) next to Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir. .... 24
			Photo 6	Big Brook Dam recreation area ..... 24
			Photo 7	Grazing land next to Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir..... 25
			Photo 8	Landuse in Lefroy Brook catchment ... 25
				<b>Figures</b>
			Figure 1	Pemberton locality map..... 2
			Figure 2	Lefroy Brook Catchment Area ..... 6
			Figure 3	Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam catchment land use. .... 22
				<b>Tables</b>
			Table 1	Lefroy Brook Weir (including Big Brook Dam sub-catchment) Catchment Risk Assessment for Drinking Water Quality 9
			Table 2	Water Quality Hazards and Potential Impact on Consumer ..... 21

# **1 Drinking water supply system overview**

Pemberton is a timber and tourist centre located in the South West corner of Western Australia, approximately 335 km south of Perth on the Vasse Highway, and approximately 30 km south of Manjimup (Figure 1). The town has a permanent population of about 994, which increases during the tourist season of October to April.

Pemberton town water supply is sourced from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam, both operated by the Water Corporation. Lefroy Brook Weir is located on Lefroy Brook approximately 1.5 km north-west of Pemberton. Big Brook Dam is located on Big Brook, a tributary of Lefroy Brook, about 6 km north of Pemberton. Big Brook Dam catchment area is a sub catchment of the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment area. The catchments are in the Warren River Basin, and are located in the Shire of Manjimup. The location of Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam and their catchment areas are presented in Figure 2.

Following is an assessment of the land uses and activities in the drinking water source catchment area and their associated risks to drinking water quality.

## **1.1 Existing water supply system**

Pemberton town water supply is sourced from Lefroy Brook Weir (a pipehead dam on Lefroy Brook), which is recharged from Big Brook Dam, a storage reservoir upstream. Lefroy Brook Weir was constructed in 1947/48, and consists of a 4 m high concrete wall (see Photo 1). The reservoir has a storage capacity of 67 Megalitres (ML). Big Brook Dam was constructed in 1986 to provide water storage for the Pemberton Trout Hatchery Water Supply, and consists of an 8 m high concrete cavity wall (see Photo 2). Big Brook reservoir covers an area of 23.8 ha and has a storage capacity of 627 ML (see Photo 3). During summer water is released from Big Brook Dam into Lefroy Brook to maintain water level requirements in Lefroy Brook Weir and to meet the water requirements of the Pemberton trout hatchery.

The Water Corporation draws water from Lefroy Brook Weir to supply potable water to Pemberton following treatment.

## **1.2 Water treatment**

The raw water is treated by flocculation, clarification and filtration followed by chlorination. Flocculation is used to reduce the natural colour, turbidity and bacterial contaminant levels in the water, and chlorination is used for disinfection. Chlorination ensures the bacteriological quality of the water.

## **1.3 Catchment details**

### **1.3.1 Physiography**

The landforms of the area are part of the Darling Range Plateau and are undulating with deeply incised valleys, shallow minor valleys and gravelly ridges. Soils are typically deeply weathered bauxitic laterite soils and colluvium over Archean granitic and metamorphic rocks. Sands and ironstone gravels over mottled clays (gravelly duplex soils) are found in the uplands and loamy gravels, brown loamy earths and brown deep loamy duplexes in the hilly dissected country. In the deeper valleys the soils are heavier friable red/brown loamy earths (WAPC, 1997).

### **1.3.2 Climate**

The area has a Mediterranean type climate, characterised by warm, dry summers with cool wet winters. The long term average annual rainfall for Pemberton from 1975 is 1169 mm.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.




Figure 1 Pemberton locality map

### **1.3.3 Hydrology**

Lefroy Brook Weir catchment has an area of 252 km<sup>2</sup> with an elevation of 81 m AHD at the reservoir rising to 323 m AHD at the head of the catchment. The long-term average annual flow for the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment is estimated to be 49 400 ML and the long-term average yield is 822 ML/year.

Big Brook Dam catchment has an area of 114 km<sup>2</sup> with an elevation of 108 m AHD at the reservoir rising to 311 m AHD at the head of the catchment. The long-term average annual flow for the Big Brook Dam catchment is estimated to be 16 700 ML and the long-term average yield is 1 972 ML/year (Water Corporation, 1998).

### **1.4 Future water supply requirements**

The estimated annual yields of Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam are 822 ML and 1972 ML respectively. Current abstraction is in the order of 180 ML/year, and estimated to increase to 222 ML/year by 2007 (Water Corporation, 1999). It is estimated that some 200 ML/year is diverted from Lefroy Brook Weir through the trout hatchery.

These sources can supply an order of magnitude more water than the anticipated future requirement for Pemberton.

### **1.5 Alternative water sources**

There are currently no designated alternative water sources identified for Pemberton. Should alternate sources need to be developed due to changes in land use or environmental values, their costs would have to be met by the party wishing to relocate the current source.

### **1.6 Protection and allocation**

#### **1.6.1 Existing water source protection**

Lefroy Brook Catchment Area is a declared catchment area, gazetted under the *Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947* in 1959. Catchment areas are declared for the purpose of protecting the public drinking water source from potential contamination. DoE has delegated to the Water Corporation the performance of catchment management and protection functions for the Lefroy Brook Catchment Area which is shown in Figure 2.

No priority classification areas for source protection or a protection zone have been assigned to the Catchment Area. Assignment of priority classification areas and special purpose protection zones will occur during the development of the Drinking Water Source Protection Plan by DoE. Advice on the status of the Plan for the Lefroy Brook Catchment Area can be obtained from the Water Source Protection Branch of the Department. Appendix 1 describes DoE's approach to drinking water protection and explains priority classification and protection zones.

#### **1.6.2 Current allocation licence**

Surface water resource use and conservation in Western Australia is administered by the Department of Environment in accordance with the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914*. This Act requires a licence to draw water from surface water and groundwater areas proclaimed under the Act (except for domestic and stock use) and all artesian wells throughout the State.

The Water Corporation is licensed by DoE to draw 470 ML/year from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam for public water supply purposes. The current number of services is 427. Abstraction in 2002/03 was 150.8 ML.



**Photo 1** Lefroy Brook Weir



**Photo 2** Big Brook Dam – looking toward the dam wall from the beach

## 2 Water quality

The quality of water from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam is monitored in accordance with Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG) and the program set out in the Pemberton Water Resource Management Operating Strategy (Water Corporation, 2003a). The water is regularly monitored for microbiological contamination, health related chemicals and aesthetic chemicals and parameters.

ADWG gives guidance on the quality of water that should be provided to consumers at the point of use.

The water quality sample results from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam show the water in the reservoirs typically exceeds ADWG for colour, turbidity, and iron. High thermotolerant coliform levels are frequently detected in the raw water samples. Thermotolerant coliforms are indicators of contamination of the water source with faecal matter.

The raw water is treated and disinfected prior to supply as drinking water. The drinking water supplied to Pemberton is of good quality and complies with ADWG microbiological, health and aesthetic requirements.

Details of the typical water quality at Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam are presented in Appendix 2.



**Photo 3** Big Brook Dam reservoir – looking from dam wall towards swimming beach

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.




Figure 2 Lefroy Brook Catchment Area

### 3 Hazard identification and risk assessment

This section details the existing and proposed land uses and activities, potential hazards, current catchment preventive strategies, and the catchment management priority associated with each hazard in the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment area. Lefroy Brook Weir catchment (excluding Big Brook Dam sub-catchment) and Big Brook Dam sub-catchment were assessed separately.

Manjimup Dam and Phillips Creek Dam sub-catchments are located in the upper reaches of the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment area. These catchments are considered in the Manjimup Dam and Phillips Creek Dam Catchment Areas Drinking Water Source Protection Assessment.

The priority level assigned to identified hazards was determined by assessing the likelihood and consequences of the source being contaminated, taking into account current catchment preventive and management strategies. Priority levels are rated *High*, *Medium* or *Low*. The risk assessment process was conducted in accordance with ADWG 2003 recommendations (Water Corporation 2003b). DoE is preparing a document to further explain risk assessment in drinking water catchments. It will soon be available via <http://www.environment.wa.gov.au>.

Land use in the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment, including Big Brook Dam sub-catchment are presented in Figure 3 and drinking water quality risk assessment details are provided in Table 1. An aerial of the catchment is presented in Photo. 4.

#### 3.1 Existing land uses

Land use classifications in the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment (excluding the Big Brook Dam sub-catchment) include:

• Rural Land	10310	ha (41%)
• State Forest	13870	ha (55%)
• Other Crown Land	947	ha (3.75%)
• Special Rural	65	ha (0.25%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>25192</b>	<b>ha</b>

Land use classifications in the Big Brook Dam sub-catchment include:

• Rural Land	2430	ha (21%)
• State Forest	8890	ha (78%)
• Other Crown Land	108	ha (1%)
<b>Total</b>	<b>11428</b>	<b>ha</b>

#### Rural Land

There is a high proportion of rural land use in the catchment which is classified Priority Agriculture under the Shire of Manjimup Local Planning Strategy. Existing land use activities include cereal cropping, grazing, annual and perennial horticulture including viticulture, orchards and silviculture, aquaculture, nurseries, small scale tourist activities and accommodation, and a small number of industrial businesses (bulk transport and timber treatment).

#### State Forest

The dominant land use in the catchment is State forest. The forest is vested in the Conservation Commission of Western Australia and managed by the Department of Conservation and Land Management on their behalf. Managed uses include conservation, recreation, timber production, water catchment protection and other regulated purposes.

Timber production by the Forest Products Commission (FPC) is the dominant commercial activity undertaken in the State forest. Low levels of commercial wildflower picking, seed collection and bee keeping also occur.

Recreational activities in the State forest in and around Big Brook Dam include swimming, canoeing, fishing and marroning, picnicking camping, and bushwalking. There are many tracks and trails in the State forest which are used for firewood collection, bushwalking, horse riding and off-road vehicle use.

#### Other Crown Land

Other Crown Land classifications in the catchment include road and rail reserves, timber reserves and Public Open Space. SOTICO's Deanmill Timber Mill and the Deanmill town site are located in the upper catchment. Lefroy Brook Weir is located in Reserve 19857 and allocated to the Department of Planning and Infrastructure, and vested with the Pemberton Tourist Centre.

#### Special Rural

A small area of Special Rural is located at the top of the catchment.

### **3.2 Proposed land uses**

The type of land uses and activities in the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment are not expected to change in the foreseeable future, however intensification of agricultural land uses is expected.

**Table 1 Lefroy Brook Weir (including Big Brook Dam sub-catchment) Catchment Risk Assessment for Drinking Water Quality**

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
<i>State Forest</i>					
Roads <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sealed</li> <li>• Unsealed</li> <li>• Use</li> <li>• Construction</li> </ul>	Fuel and chemical spills  Erosion and runoff  Litter  Road verge weed control	Hydrocarbons and Chemicals  Turbidity  Pathogens  Herbicides	Low  Low  Low  Medium	<p>Seven Day Road and Channybearup Road are main sealed rural access roads, which bisect the catchment. There are many CALM managed tourism and forestry roads and tracks in the catchment.</p> <p>In the Big Brook Recreational Area, east of Channybearup Road, gravel tourism and forestry roads are adjacent to Big Brook and its major tributaries, with buffers typically between 10 and 200 m. Rainbow Trail is the tourist route at Big Brook Dam.</p> <p>CALM grades roads in spring, with condition checks of high traffic roads at the start of winter. Stream crossings have been identified as potential sites of erosion. State forest roads and tracks are open to the public. Logging roads are used for bulk haulage of timber.</p> <p>Brushoff® and Roundup® are used for road verge weed control by CALM, mainly along tourist routes. Stream line buffers should be maintained during application</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM and FPC management of State forest Roads</li> <li>• Shire management of arterial roads</li> <li>• HAZMAT emergency response procedures</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Roads – Rainbow Trail next to Lefroy Brook and Weir	Fuel and chemical spills  Erosion and runoff	Hydrocarbons and Chemicals  Turbidity	<b>High</b>  <b>High</b>	Rainbow Trail, a tourist route, passes within metres of the Lefroy Brook Weir and along Lefroy Brook (see Photo 5). The trail is used by local and tourism traffic. It is a confirmed source of turbidity also any fuel or chemical spills are considered likely to contaminate the weir reservoir.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM and FPC management of State forest Roads</li> <li>• HAZMAT emergency response procedures</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Streamline and State Forest - Private property boundary management	Weed control	Herbicides	Low	CALM focuses weed control for blackberries on State forest boundaries with private land. Brushoff® and Roundup® are traditionally used with spraying restricted near stream lines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM managed activity</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Timber production	Erosion and runoff Fuel spills and leaks from forestry vehicles Fires/Burning Human activity Fertilising prior to replanting	Turbidity Hydrocarbons Turbidity Pathogens Nutrients	Low Low Low Medium Low	<p>The Forest Products Commission (FPC) operates in accordance with the <i>Contractors' Timber Harvesting Manual</i> (FPC, 2003) and the <i>Code of Practice for Timber Harvesting</i> (CALM, 1999) under a Forest Management Plan, which includes guidelines for protection of water. The FPC also operates in accordance with an Environmental Management System (EMS). FPC operations and activities are regulated by CALM.</p> <p>FPC manages erosion and runoff during logging operations. Shale is used on shunts to minimise erosion. Shunts and tracks are generally not rehabilitated after logging, as they will be used at a later date. Limited logging occurs in winter to minimise erosion.</p> <p>Oil changes are not allowed in the forest and mobile refuelling facilities are used. EMS specified operating and incident remediation procedures apply.</p> <p>Watercourses and water bodies are considered before prescribed burning with buffers maintained. Burning of coups generally occurs after logging.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WC and CALM surveillance</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Resource Harvesting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Apiarists</li> <li>• Wildflower picking</li> <li>• Seed collection</li> </ul>	Human activity	Pathogens	Medium	<p>Activities and numbers are effectively managed by CALM through the issuing of licences under the <i>Forest Management Regulations</i> 1993 (Apiarists) and the <i>Wildlife Conservation Regulations</i> 1970 (Flora).</p> <p>There are a small number of permitted operators in the catchment. Apiary sites are located away from streams. There is the potential for unauthorised activity and people accessing tributaries or the water body.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regulation</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Firewood collection	Human and domestic animal activity  Waste dumping - hydrocarbons from machinery	Pathogens  Hydrocarbons	Medium  Low	Free firewood for domestic purposes can be gathered without a licence from areas of State forest, with vehicles required to stay on formed roads. CALM produces Firewood Collection Guidelines detailing designated firewood collection sites, which are not in PDWSAs.  Some collection of firewood occurs in the catchment generally in logged areas, which are away from streams and the water body. There is the potential for people to be close to tributaries.  Hydrocarbon waste from machinery is associated with public firewood collection.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• WC and CALM surveillance</li> <li>• Distance from reservoirs</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Feral animals and their control	Faecal contamination / carcasses  Wallowing etc  Human presence – hunting/trapping  1080 Baiting	Pathogens  Turbidity  Pathogens	Medium  Low  Medium  Low	There is the potential for pathogen contamination of tributaries and the reservoir from animal carcasses, uneaten baits, faeces and wallowing. Pigs are not considered a problem in the catchment area.  Very low levels of hunting are reported by CALM in the catchment.  CALM is responsible for feral animal control programs in the catchment. Some aerial baiting of 1080, a naturally occurring chemical that does not pose a risk to water quality occurs. Baits are generally not placed within 100 m of watercourses or reservoirs. Baiting discourages domestic animals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> <li>• WC and CALM surveillance</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Fire Management • Wildfire	Erosion from fire breaks and stripping of vegetation due to fire.  Fire fighting foam  Water point use and contamination of water body	Turbidity  Nutrients  Hydrocarbons	Medium  Low  Low	Fire management in the State forest is the responsibility of CALM. Water quality issues are considered in fire management operations.  Wildfires in the catchment are rare. Typically 15 days total fire ban per year.  Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) is used for fire suppression. Streamline and reservoir buffers are considered before application of chemical fire suppressers with avoiding contamination of waterways a priority.  There are a number of water points in the catchment for use as required by fire fighting vehicles.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> <li>• WC participation during major fires and post fire inspections</li> <li>• Regulations</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Fire Management <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fire Breaks</li> <li>• Controlled Burns</li> </ul>	Erosion from fire breaks and stripping of vegetation due to fire.	Turbidity	Low	Fire management in the State forest is the responsibility of CALM. Water quality issues are considered in fire management operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> <li>• WC participation during major fires</li> <li>• Regulations</li> </ul>
Illegal rubbish dumping	Leaching of contaminates	Pathogens Chemicals Nutrients	Medium Low Low	Some rubbish dumping currently occurs in the catchment, with rubbish removal managed by CALM.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM and WC surveillance</li> <li>• Removal of rubbish</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Illegal hunting	Human and animal activity	Pathogens	Medium	Illegal hunting in the catchment is reported to be rare, with no feral pig problems.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM and WC surveillance</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Chip Mill (Diamond Mill) State Forest Loc. 5112 and Private Land Loc. 9937	Leachates from timber and waste timber burning	Nutrients Turbidity	Medium Low	Timber leachates are naturally present in the catchment. Diamond Mill is located approximately 18 km upstream of Lefroy Weir, on the edge of the catchment.  This location was previously licensed for discharges. A series of detention ponds are in place to trap turbidity prior to release into a tributary.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detention ponds</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
<i>Other Crown Land</i>					
Timber Mill (Deanmill) Loc 7367	Leachates from timber and waste timber burning  Leachates from historic timber treatment	Nutrients Turbidity Chemicals	Low Low Low	Deanmill Timber Mill is located approximately 26 km upstream from Lefroy Brook Weir. Timber leachates naturally occur in the catchment.  Site 7367 was licensed by the DEP. Mill run off was tested before release from settling ponds. pH adjustment only required. The site no longer requires licensing for discharges. This site may however be assessed under the Contaminated Sites Bill 2002 once passed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distance from Lefroy Brook Weir</li> <li>• Detention ponds</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Town sites (Deanmill and edge of Jardee)	Septic Systems	Pathogens	Medium	Deanmill, which has approximately 70 houses, is located approximately 26 km upstream from Lefroy Brook Weir. Jardee is approximately 22 km upstream. Soils are loamy clays, which have good contaminant retention properties.  A domestic rubbish collection service is provided to Deanmill and Jardee residences.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Distance from Lefroy Brook Weir</li> <li>Regulations (Pesticide use)</li> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
	Leachates from domestic rubbish dumping	Nutrients	Low		
		Pathogens	Medium		
	Sports oval fertilising and pest control	Nutrients	Low		
Herbicides		Low			
Timber Reserves	Leachates from dumped rubbish	Nutrients	Low	An old sanitary site is located on Reserve 7294. There is evidence of some domestic rubbish and car dumping at the site. The Shire of Manjimup coordinates rubbish removal.  Most heavy metals leachates would be retained by the loamy clay soils.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Distance from Lefroy Brook Weir</li> <li>Removal of rubbish</li> <li>Regulations (Litter)</li> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
		Heavy metals	Low		
		Pathogens	Medium		
<i>Recreation – State Forest and Crown Land</i>					
Off-road vehicle use	Human activity	Pathogens	Medium	Limited levels of activity are reported with vegetation providing a natural barrier to access. Erosion risk is increased at stream crossings. Refuelling in the catchment would not be common due to the proximity of towns.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vegetation barrier</li> <li>CALM surveillance</li> <li>Regulations</li> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
	Erosion from vehicles	Turbidity	Low		
	Fuel spills from refuelling/accidents	Hydrocarbons	Low		
Horse riding	Human and animal activity	Pathogens	Medium	Direct contact of animals with waterways and the water body, poses the greatest risk. Horse riding on established (public) roads and tracks poses a reduced risk and is an authorised activity in State forest and PDWSAs. Horse riding in the Big Brook Recreation Area is not actively encouraged.  There are no organised groups currently operating in the State forest and low levels of casual activity are reported by CALM.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>WC and CALM surveillance</li> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
	Erosion from animals	Turbidity	Low		

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Swimming	Human and domestic animal contact with water  Erosion of stream and reservoir banks	Pathogens  Turbidity	<b>High</b>  Medium	<p>Human or animal contact with water involves an immediate threat to water quality with the potential for <i>Cryptosporidium</i> contamination.</p> <p>Swimming is prohibited in Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir, however, some occurs mainly during summer. There is the potential for erosion and turbidity at common access points to the water. A safety sign and chair gate designed to prohibit access are located at the weir. The effectiveness of the existing preventive strategies in minimising risk is low.</p> <p>Swimming is actively promoted by CALM at Big Brook Dam with a beach and other facilities provided (see Photo 6). Activity levels of approximately 100 people per day are reported for summer weekends.</p> <p>Detention time can not be considered an effective barrier for reducing the risk associated with direct contact with the water bodies.</p>	<p><b>Lefroy Brook Weir</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Signage and chain gate</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• WC surveillance</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Boating	Human contact with water  Erosion of reservoir banks	Pathogens  Turbidity	<b>High</b>  Medium	<p>Informal non motorised boating is an approved activity at Big Brook Dam with medium to high activity levels during summer. This activity involves human contact with the water and presents an immediate threat to water quality with the potential for <i>Cryptosporidium</i> contamination.</p>	

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Fishing and Marroning	Human activity, contact with water and use of bait  Erosion of stream, dam and weir banks	Pathogens  Turbidity	High  Medium	<p>Human or animal contact with water involves an immediate threat to water quality with the potential for <i>Cryptosporidium</i> contamination.</p> <p>Fishing and marroning are actively promoted at Big Brook Dam. Fishing for Red Perch occurs year round, while trout and marron fishing is restricted to seasons (typically 8-9 months and 2-5 weeks per year respectively). Trout fishing averages 5 people per day and marroning typically more than 10 people per night, during the season. Four fishing platforms are provided at Big Brook Dam.</p> <p>Fishing and marroning in the Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir and feeder streams occur throughout the year. There is the potential for erosion and turbidity at common access points to the water particularly at the weir. A safety sign and chair gate designed to prohibit access are located at the weir. The effectiveness of the existing preventive strategies in minimising risk is low.</p> <p>Detention time can not be considered an effective barrier for reducing the risk associated with direct contact with the water bodies.</p>	<p><b>Lefroy Brook Weir</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Signage and chain gate</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• WC surveillance</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Bushwalking and Cycling	Human and domestic animal activity	Pathogens	High	<p>Recreation activities in the Big Brook Recreational Area are managed by CALM. Walk and bike tails (including a bitumen path) are located around Big Brook Dam, with toilet facilities currently provided at the Beach picnic area (see Photo 5) and the Arboretum campsite. Activity levels are high, particularly in the warmer months.</p> <p>The Bibbulmun track passes next to the weir and the lower Lefroy, upstream of the weir (see Photo 5). There are no toilet facilities provided in this area and the Lefroy Brook is directly accessible. Signage is present at the weir prohibiting rubbish dumping and polluting. Activity levels are relatively low in this area, with increases in the warmer months.</p>	<p><b>Lefroy Brook Weir</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WC surveillance</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul> <p><b>Big Brook Sub Catchment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Picnicking and Camping	Human and domestic animal activity  Erosion from vehicles	Pathogens  Turbidity	<b>High</b>  Medium	There are a number of designated picnic sites, with various facilities provided in the Big Brook Recreational Area, particularly close to the water body. Sealed toilet facilities are provided at the Beach picnic area (main day use area). There is a campsite at the Arboretum, upstream of Big Brook Dam, with sealed toilet facilities. Camper numbers are managed by CALM, using camp hosts. Toilet facilities are not currently provided at other designated viewing and picnic spots.  Activity is evident near the Lefroy Brook Weir and along Rainbow Trail and the Bibbulmun Track. Karri Oak BBQ area is 2 km upstream of the weir on the stream bank. Fires and disturbance is evident close to the weir. There are no toilet facilities provided and the brook is directly accessible. There is potential for erosion at common access points with vehicle access within metres of the water.	<b>Lefroy Brook Weir</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• WC surveillance</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul> <b>Big Brook Sub Catchment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CALM management</li> </ul>
<i>Rural Land (Priority Agriculture)</i>					
Roads <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Use</li> <li>• Construction</li> </ul>	Fuel and chemical spills from accidents and leaks  Erosion & runoff from gravel roads  Litter  Weed control	Hydrocarbons and Chemicals  Turbidity  Pathogens  Herbicides	Medium  Low  Medium  Low	The local roads in the catchment are used predominantly for movement of local people, produce and supplies. Turbidity contamination risk is highest during road construction and maintenance. Fuel and chemical spillage as a result of accidents poses a high threat to water quality, however occurrence is low. Highest risk of contamination from roads occurs at stream crossings and where roads pass close to waterways.  Turbidity and hydrocarbon contamination from rural roads in the upper Lefroy catchment and the Big Brook Dam sub catchment pose a reduced risk due to increased distance from the water bodies with the State forest providing a buffer.  Brushhoff® and Roundup® used to control weeds, primarily blackberry.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shire management of roads (including weed control)</li> <li>• HAZMAT emergency response procedures</li> <li>• Regulations</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Grazing and improved pastures	Fertiliser application	Nutrients	Medium	<p>Fertilisers are generally applied annually with the potential to be applied very close to streamlines. Most streamlines and dams are not fenced with animals having direct access to the water (see Photo 7 and 8). The areas steep terrain increases overland runoff into streamlines.</p> <p>Access of animals to streamlines is a source of erosion, pathogen and nutrient contamination. Cattle excrement is a source of <i>Giardia</i> and <i>Cryptosporidium</i>. Chlorination does not provide sufficient protection against these pathogens.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with grazing are reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> <li>• Regulations (Pesticides only)</li> </ul>
	Animal excreta	Pathogens	High		
		Nutrients	High		
	Erosion	Turbidity	Medium		
	Pest control	Pesticides	Low		
Cropping	Fertiliser application	Nutrients	Medium	<p>Medium levels of fertiliser applied annually to cultivated ground, potentially into and near to streams. The terrain is hilly with cultivation to streamlines increasing the degree of overland runoff.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with cropping are reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> <li>• Regulations (Pesticides only)</li> </ul>
	Pest control	Pesticides	Medium		
	Erosion	Turbidity	Low		
Orchards, Nurseries and Viticulture	Fertiliser application	Nutrients	Medium	<p>Application of fertiliser and pesticide occurs on land very close to streamlines and the Lefroy Brook Weir with the potential for spray drift and runoff from properties. Mixing and storing of chemicals often occurs next to streams and farm dams. There is the potential for fuel spills from vehicles and fuel stored next to water bodies and used to run pumps. Erosion is reduced by the low frequency of cultivation and the loamy clay soils.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with orchards and viticulture are reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> <li>• Regulations (Pesticides only)</li> </ul>
	Pest control - mixing, storing and application	Pesticides	Medium		
	Fuel spills from machinery and storage	Hydrocarbons	Medium		
	Erosion	Turbidity	Low		

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Horticulture	Fertiliser application	Nutrients	Medium	<p>Fertiliser is generally applied frequently with more than one crop a year. A range of pesticides is used at varying times, with mixing often occurring next to waterways. Spray drift does occur. There is the potential for fuel spills from vehicles and fuel stored next to water bodies (used to run pumps). Sloped paddocks with vertical furrows and frequent cultivation increases potential for erosion.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with horticulture are reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p> <p>Guidelines for Best Practice Environmental Management (BPEM) are available in the form of 'Best Environmental Management Practices – For Environmentally Sustainable Vegetable and Potato Production in WA', however, implementation of the guidelines is not common.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Horticulture BPEM Guidelines</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> <li>• Regulations (Pesticides only)</li> </ul>
	Pest control	Pesticides	Medium		
	Fuel spills from machinery and storage	Hydrocarbons	Medium		
	Erosion	Turbidity	Medium		
Silviculture	Fertiliser application	Nutrients	Low	<p>Fertilising occurs at time of planting and possibly annually. There is potential for erosion during cultivation, runoff is however reduced with use of horizontal furrows. Herbicide application occurs prior to planting. There is the potential for fuel spills from vehicles however they would generally be away from waterways.</p> <p>The risk significance of contamination from silviculture is reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shire approval of activity</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> <li>• Regulations (Pesticides only)</li> </ul>
	Erosion during planting and harvesting	Turbidity	Low		
	Pest control	Herbicides	Low		
	Fuel spills from machinery	Hydrocarbons	Low		
Aquaculture	Biological waste	Nutrients	Medium	<p>Several small (&lt; 1 tonne biomass) aquaculture operations are in the upper Lefroy catchment and in the Big Brook Dam sub catchment. Waste discharge emissions are restricted with waste ponds required.</p> <p>Aquaculture development proposals in PDWSAs should be referred to DoE for approval and advice.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fisheries licence and Shire approval required before commercial development.</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
		Pathogens	Medium		

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Residences	Septic tanks	Nutrients Pathogens	Low Medium	<p>Many farm residences are located within 500 m of streamlines, with closest in the order of 200 m from Lefroy Brook. The loamy clay texture of the soils would assist in retention of nutrients and pathogens.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with residences is reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Farm infrastructure <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sheds</li> <li>Tracks</li> <li>Dams</li> <li>Private rubbish dumps</li> </ul>	Fuel and chemical spills from workshops and bulk fuel storage  Erosion from farm tracks  Dam water releases and wall breaks  Leachates from private rubbish dumps	Hydrocarbons and chemicals  Turbidity  Nutrients Turbidity Pesticides and herbicides  Chemicals Nutrients Pathogens	Medium  Low  Medium Low Low  Low Low Medium	<p>Bulk fuel storage and workshops exist on most rural properties with storage volumes generally below Dangerous Good Licensing requirements. Appropriate bunding arrangements are not common.</p> <p>Dam releases should occur through out the year to maintain natural stream flows. Summer releases are not common in dry years. Nutrient and pesticides concentrate in dams with limited turnover. Many dams are located instream in the catchment with dam wall breaks occurring occasionally.</p> <p>Rubbish burial and dumping is reported by the Shire to occur on rural properties, often in water soaked land near streamlines. The rural residences do not have a domestic rubbish collection service. Drum and chemical container collections are run periodically by the Shire, with collection of triple rinsed containers only.</p> <p>The risk significance of the hazards associated with farm infrastructure is reduced in the Big Brook sub catchment due to the distance from the water body (&gt; 6 km) with the State forest providing a buffer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Dangerous Goods Bunding Requirements</li> <li>Regulations</li> <li>Shire Drum Muster</li> <li>Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

Land Use / Activity	Hazard Source / Event	Hazard <sup>1</sup>	Catchment Management Priority <sup>2</sup>	Considerations	Current Catchment Preventive Strategies
Tourism and Recreational Facilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caravan Parks</li> <li>• Cafes</li> <li>• Wineries</li> <li>• Fruit and Nut farm</li> </ul>	Human activity and Septic Systems	Pathogens Nutrients	Medium Low	A variety of small scale tourism operations occur in the upper catchment generally >20 km from the Weir and in the Big Brook sub catchment (>6 km to the dam). Fontys Pool is located on Oven Brook, a tributary of the upper Lefroy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Golf Course	Fertiliser application Sand greens	Nutrients Hydrocarbons	Low Low	Half of the Pemberton golf course is located near the edge of the catchment 1.5 km from the weir.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
Small Industry and Private Airstrip	Fuel spills from vehicles, accidents workshops and bulk fuel storage Chemicals spills	Hydrocarbons Chemical	Low Low	A transport business with workshop and bulk fuel/oil storage and a private airstrip are located approximately 9km and 8km respectively, upstream of the Lefroy Brook Weir. A timber products business is located in the upper catchment more than 22 km from the weir.  The size, number and distance of these operations from the weir reduce the risk to water quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>
<i>Special Rural</i>					
Residences	Septic tanks and domestic animal excreta	Pathogens Nutrients	Medium Low	A small area (65 ha) of Special Rural land is located more than 20 km upstream from the Lefroy Brook Weir.  The size, number and distance of these properties from the weir reduce the risk to water quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shire Planning Controls</li> <li>• Water quality monitoring</li> </ul>

1. See Table 2 Water Quality Hazards and Potential Impact on Consumer table.
2. Catchment Management Priority Scale Used: *High, Medium* and *Low*.

**Table 2 Water Quality Hazards and Potential Impact on Consumer**

<b>Water Quality Hazards and Potential Impact on Consumer</b>	
<b>Hazard</b>	<b>Potential Impact on Consumer</b>
<b>Health</b>	
Hydrocarbons (eg fuels, oils and solvents) and Organic Chemicals	Some toxic and some carcinogenic. Harmful by-products may be formed when combined with chlorine. May have poor taste and smell.
Nutrients	Nitrate/nitrite is toxic to humans at high levels, with infants less than three months old being most susceptible. Nutrients can cause algal blooms.
Pathogens (Bacteria, Viruses, Protozoa)	Disease causing organisms.
Pesticides	Are toxic and some potentially carcinogenic.
<b>Aesthetic</b>	
Colour	Not a health consideration if derived from natural organics. Harmful by-products may be formed when combined with chlorine.
Total Dissolved Solids / Salinity	Poor taste and corrosion to pipe work and household appliances.
Turbidity	Discolouration and cloudiness of water. May reduce the effectiveness of disinfection.

Refer to the ADWG for further information about water quality hazards, available via [www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/pdf/eh19.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/pdf/eh19.pdf).



THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ONGOING REVIEW AND AMENDMENTS AND SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ASSOCIATED REPORT.



**Photo 4    Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam catchment aerial**



**Photo 5** Rainbow Trail (Bibbulmun Track) next to Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir.



**Photo 6** Big Brook Dam recreation area



**Photo 7** Grazing land next to Lefroy Brook Weir reservoir.



**Photo 8** Landuse in Lefroy Brook catchment

## 4 Conclusion

The current risks to water quality from land uses and activities within the Lefroy Brook Weir catchment have been identified and assessed.

The following land uses and activities were found to represent the greatest risk to drinking water quality within the catchments and are rated a **High** management priority. These activities are considered likely to contaminate the water source with pathogens.

- Recreational activities in and around Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam, including;
  - Swimming and boating
  - Fishing and Marroning
  - Bushwalking and Cycling
  - Picnicking and Camping, and
- Stock grazing in the catchment.

Rainbow Trail which runs next to Lefroy Brook and the reservoir is also considered a **High** management priority as it is a current source of turbidity, and there is the potential for direct contamination of the water source from fuel or chemical spills.

Other activities in the catchment are considered to be a **Medium to Low** management priority.

Risks identified in this document, and any raised in future public consultation processes, will be further considered during development of the Lefroy Brook Catchment Area Drinking Water Source Protection Plan (DWSPP).

It is essential existing catchment preventive and management strategies be continued and protection measures identified in the forthcoming DWSPP be implemented to ensure the ongoing availability of good quality drinking water. The Water Corporation will continue to implement preventive measures within its assigned responsibility, such as monitoring, signage and surveillance. Other relevant agencies and stakeholders are also encouraged to implement preventive measures prior to development of the DWSPP wherever possible. Examples of potential strategies used in other PDWSAs for managing drinking water quality risks can be found in Appendix 3.

Planning and other land use decision-makers should recognise the significance of this drinking water catchment in the decisions they make in accordance with the Western Australian Planning Commission's Statement of Planning Policy No 2.7 – *Public Drinking Water Source Policy* and with reference to DoE's Water Quality Protection Note – *Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas*. Further advice on drinking water catchment protection issues is available from the Water Corporation, DoE and DoH.

# References

CALM, 1999, *Code of Practice for Timber Harvesting in Western Australia*, Australian Forest Growers, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia.

Department of Environment, Environmental Guidelines, Water Quality Protection Notes and Recreation Policy available via <[www.environment.wa.gov.au](http://www.environment.wa.gov.au)>.

Department of Health, 1993, *Public Service Circular 88 Use of Pesticides in Water Catchment Areas*. Available via <[www.population.health.wa.gov.au/environmental/resources/use%20of%20herbicides%20in%20water%20catchment%20areas.pdf](http://www.population.health.wa.gov.au/environmental/resources/use%20of%20herbicides%20in%20water%20catchment%20areas.pdf)>.

FPC, 2003, *Contractor's Timber Harvesting Manual*, Forest Products Commission, Western Australia.

National Health and Medical Research Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, 1996, *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines*. Available via [www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/pdf/eh19.pdf](http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/pdf/eh19.pdf). Further information is available via [www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/synopses/eh19syn.htm](http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/synopses/eh19syn.htm).

Public Works Department Western Australia, 1982, *Streamflow Records of Western Australia to 1982*, Volume 1 Basins 601-612, PWDWA.

Water Corporation, 1998, *Pemberton Water Source Review*.

Water Corporation, 1999, *Pemberton Water Source Plan*.

Water Corporation, 2003(a), *Pemberton Water Resource Management Operation Strategy*.

Water Corporation, 2003(b), *SG097- Risk Assessment Process for Drinking Water Source Quality*.

Western Australian Planning Commission, 1997, *Warren-Blackwood Regional Planning Strategy - For Public Comment*.

Western Australian Planning Commission, 2003, *Statement of Planning Policy No. 2.7- Public Drinking Water Source Policy*, Government Gazette WA. Available via [www.wapc.wa.gov.au](http://www.wapc.wa.gov.au).

WHO, 1996, *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality 1996, Volume 2*, World Health Organisation. Available via [http://www.who.int/water\\_sanitation\\_health/dwq/en/2edvol2p1.pdf](http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/en/2edvol2p1.pdf)

# Glossary and Acronyms

<b>ADWG</b>	Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, published by the National Health and Medical Research Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand under rolling review.
<b>Aesthetic</b>	Relating to the physical characteristics of water (taste, clarity, smell and feel).
<b>Allocation</b>	The quantity of water permitted to be abstracted by an allocation licence, usually specified in kilolitres/year (kL/a).
<b>Alluvium (alluvial)</b>	Detrital material which is transported by streams and rivers and deposited.
<b>BPEM</b>	Best Practice Environmental Management
<b>CALM</b>	Department of Conservation and Land Management
<b>Catchment</b>	The area of land which intercepts rainfall and contributes the collected water to surface water (streams, rivers, wetlands) or groundwater.
<b>CAWS By-Laws</b>	<i>Country Areas Water Supply By-Laws 1957</i>
<b>Crown Land</b>	Refers to all land except alienated land (includes reserved and leased Crown land and land owned or vested in Government agencies, tidal waters, reclaimed land, river beds and lakes).
<b>Diffuse Source Pollution</b>	Pollution originating from a widespread area, e.g. urban stormwater runoff, agricultural runoff.
<b>DWSPA</b>	Drinking Water Source Protection Assessment
<b>DWSPP</b>	Drinking Water Source Protection Plan
<b>Effluent</b>	The liquid, solid or gaseous wastes discharged by a process, treated or untreated.
<b>FPC</b>	Forest Products Commission
<b>HAZMAT</b>	Hazardous Materials
<b>Health Related Chemical</b>	Water quality characteristic that may pose a health risk to consumers.
<b>Leaching / Leachate</b>	The process by which materials such as organic matter and mineral salts are washed out of a layer of soil or dumped material by being dissolved or suspended in percolating rainwater; the material washed out is known as leachate. Leachate can pollute groundwater and waterways.
<b>m AHD</b>	Australian Height Datum. Height in metres above Mean Sea Level +0.026 m at Fremantle.
<b>Microbiological Contaminant</b>	Micro-organisms which can either directly cause disease (pathogens) or indicate the possible presence of other pathogens.

<b>Nutrient Load</b>	The amount of nutrient reaching the waterway over a given time (usually per year) from its catchment area.
<b>Nutrients</b>	Minerals dissolved in water, particularly inorganic compounds of nitrogen (nitrate and ammonia) and phosphorus (phosphate) which provide nutrition (food) for plant growth. Total nutrient levels include the inorganic forms of an element plus any bound in organic molecules.
<b>PDWSA</b>	Public Drinking Water Source Area. Includes all underground water pollution control areas, catchment areas and water reserves constituted under the <i>Metropolitan Water Supply Sewerage and Drainage Act</i> and the <i>County Areas Water Supply Act</i> .
<b>Pesticides</b>	Collective name for a variety of insecticides, fungicides, herbicides, algacides, fumigants and rodenticides used to kill organisms.
<b>Point Source Pollution</b>	Specific localised source of pollution, e.g. sewage or effluent discharge, industrial waste discharge.
<b>Pollution</b>	Water pollution occurs when waste products or other substances, e.g. effluent, litter, refuse, sewage or contaminated runoff, change the physical, chemical, biological or thermal properties of the water, adversely affecting water quality, living species and beneficial uses.
<b>Runoff</b>	Water that flows over the surface from a catchment area, including streams.
<b>Scheme Supply</b>	Water diverted from a source (or sources) by a water authority or private company and supplied via a distribution network to customers for urban, industrial or irrigation use.
<b>Storage Reservoir</b>	A major reservoir of water created in a river valley by building a dam.
<b>Stormwater</b>	Rainwater that has run off the ground surface, roads, paved areas etc and is usually carried away by drains.
<b>TDS</b>	Total Dissolved Solids, a measure of salinity, calculated from TFSS (Total Filterable Suspended Solids) and measured in accordance with ADWG.
<b>Treatment</b>	Application of techniques such as settlement, filtration and chlorination to render water suitable for specific purposes including drinking and discharge to the environment.
<b>Wastewater</b>	Water that has been used for some purpose and would normally be treated and discarded. Wastewater usually contains significant quantities of pollutant.
<b>Water Quality</b>	The physical, chemical and biological measures of water.

# Appendices

- Appendix 1** Department of Environment - Water Quality Protection Note:  
Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas.
- Appendix 2** Water Quality
- Appendix 3** Example protection strategies used in drinking water catchments in  
Western Australia.
- Appendix 4** Department of Environment -Water Quality Protection Note:  
Protecting Public Drinking Water Source Areas.

## **Appendix 1**

### **DoE WQPN Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas**

Refer to DoE website <<http://www.environment.wa.gov.au>> for latest version.

# Water Quality Protection Note

## Land use compatibility in Public Drinking Water Source Areas

### Purpose

The Department of Environment (DoE) is responsible for managing and protecting the State's water resources. This note provides advice on the acceptability of land uses and activities within specific catchments that are the water source for schemes supplying cities and towns. These catchments are termed Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs) and they require comprehensive water resource quality and land planning protection measures to ensure the ongoing availability of a 'safe, good quality drinking water' supply to protect the health of consumers for now and into the future. This note supports the DoE's Public Drinking Water Resource Policy (July 2004).

The note also forms an integral part of the Western Australian Planning Commission's *Statement of Planning Policy No. 2.7- Public Drinking Water Source Policy 2003* (relevant to approximately 140 existing PDWSAs in Western Australia) prepared by the Department for Planning and Infrastructure under Section 5AA of the *Town Planning and Development Act 1928*. It is also intended to support the proposed Statement of Planning Policy for *Water Resources* designed to guide planning decisions in future PDWSAs. This note should be used by Local Government when developing local planning strategies, structure plans and town planning schemes. It should also be used in the assessment of subdivision and other development applications. The note will also assist the development of formal guidelines on land use activities in PDWSA prepared in liaison with key stakeholders such as the Water Corporation, Department of Health, Department of Conservation and Land Management, Department of Agriculture, Department of Industry and Resources, Department for Planning and Infrastructure and local government.

A review of this note may occur within 12 months (depending on feedback) to reflect DoE's policy position (which is influenced by public consultation undertaken for PDWSAs), advances in technology or land use activity standards, and Government decisions made concerning drinking water quality protection. This note may not consider all the circumstances that exist for planning strategies, plans and schemes across the State. Accordingly, changes to this note will only be considered if they apply broadly across the State. Other means of addressing localised special circumstances may be employed and the DoE will assist in achieving this outcome provided those changes do not place the PDWSA at a higher contamination risk.

### Scope

This note provides the DoE's position on a range of land uses assessed against the Department's water quality protection strategy and management objectives within PDWSAs. Where a specific land use has not been covered in the accompanying tables, it should be referred to the Department's Water Source Protection Branch for assessment and a written response concerning its acceptability or any necessary water resource protection measures.

Public Drinking Water Source Area in Western Australia is the collective description for:

- Underground Water Pollution Control Areas,
- Water Reserves, and
- Catchment Areas,

declared under the *Metropolitan Water Supply, Sewerage and Drainage Act 1909* or the *Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947*.

This note is intended to complement the statutory role and policy of State and local government authorities, but it does not override Government policy or the need for proponents to fulfil their legal responsibilities for land use planning, environmental, health, building or other necessary approvals.

## PDWSA protection framework

The protection of PDWSAs relies on statutory measures available in water resource management and land use planning legislation. The DoE policy for the protection of PDWSAs includes three risk management based priority classification areas and two types of protection zones. The priority classification areas and protection zones are determined via specific Drinking Water Source Protection Plans (DWSPP) that are prepared in consultation with State government agencies, landowners, local government, and key industry and community stakeholders. Where a fully consulted DWSPP does not exist for a PDWSA, the DoE initially prepares Drinking Water Source Protection Assessment (DWSPA) documents to reflect readily available information for use in land use planning assessments and decision making.

### Priority classification areas

**Priority 1 (P1)** classification areas are managed to ensure that there is **no degradation** of the drinking water source by preventing the development of potentially harmful activities in these areas. The guiding principle is **risk avoidance**. This is the most stringent priority classification for drinking water sources. P1 areas normally encompass land owned or managed by State agencies, but may include private land that is strategically significant to the protection of the drinking water source (e.g. land immediately adjacent to a reservoir). Most land uses create some risk to water quality and are therefore defined as **“Incompatible”** in P1 areas.

**Priority 2 (P2)** classification areas are managed to ensure that there is **no increased risk** of water source contamination/ pollution. For P2 areas, the guiding principle is **risk minimisation**. These areas include established low-risk land development (e.g. low intensity rural activity). Some development is allowed within P2 areas for land uses that are defined as either **“Compatible with conditions”** or **“Acceptable”**.

**Priority 3 (P3)** classification areas are defined to **manage the risk of pollution** to the water source from catchment activities. Protection of P3 areas is mainly achieved through guided or regulated environmental (risk) management for land use activities. P3 areas are declared over land where water supply sources co-exist with other land uses such as residential, commercial and light industrial development. Land uses considered to have significant pollution potential are nonetheless opposed or constrained.

### Wellhead and reservoir protection zones

In addition to the three Priority Classification Areas, specific protection zones are defined to protect drinking water sources from contamination in the immediate vicinity of water extraction facilities. Within these zones by-laws may prohibit, restrict or approve defined land uses and activities to prevent water source contamination or pollution. Special conditions, such as restrictions on storage and use of chemicals, may apply within these zones. The legislation is currently being reviewed to simplify and enhance the protection of public drinking water sources.

Wellhead protection zones (WHPZ) are used to protect underground sources of drinking water. They are circular (unless information is available to determine a different shape), with a radius of 500 metres in P1 areas, and 300 metres in P2 and P3 areas. WHPZ do not extend outside PDWSA boundaries. Reservoir protection zones (or **‘prohibited zones’** as they are called in the by-laws) consist of a statutory 2 kilometre wide buffer area around the top water level of storage reservoirs in the Perth water supply area, and include the reservoir water-body. The reservoir protection zones (RPZ) apply over Crown land and prohibit public access to prevent contamination (physical, chemical and biological) of the source water. RPZ do not extend outside PDWSA boundaries. The DoE is currently considering a provision for RPZ buffer areas of less than 2 kilometres, and creation of consistent by-laws for country and Perth PDWSAs.

Special protection measures apply in WHPZ and RPZ (prohibited zones) as described in the By-laws under the *Metropolitan Water Supply, Sewerage and Drainage Act 1909* and the *Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947*.

The determination of priority classification areas or protection zones over land in a PDWSA is based on:

- the strategic importance of the land or water source,
- the local planning scheme zoning,
- form of land tenure, and
- existing approved land uses/activities.

The land use tables in this protection note directly apply to the three types of priority classification areas identified in DWSP or agreed in specific *Land Use and Water Management Strategy* documents. Currently there are 45 DWSPs available to guide land use planning decisions in PDWSAs, and (nearly 100) others are in development. In the absence of a DWSP, the DoE recommends that planning decisions within any gazetted or proposed PDWSA are guided by DWSPA documents (where they exist) and the **'potential'** priority classification area or protection zone status of a proposal identified using **Diagram 1: Assessment of potential priority classification areas and protection zones** (overleaf).

### Compatibility of land uses within PDWSAs


The tables in this note have been prepared for use by local governments, State planners and other agencies as a basis for regulating land use within PDWSAs. The note complements the Western Australian Planning Commission's *Statement of Planning Policy Number 2.7 (June 2003) Public Drinking Water Sources*. These tables define land uses in terms of their compatibility with the sustainable use of the drinking water source. They promote a priority for protection of the environmental value: 'drinking water' within a PDWSA over other values that may exist. The three definitions used are **'Incompatible'**, **'Compatible with conditions'** and **'Acceptable'**. In previous versions of this note the definitions were 'Incompatible', 'Conditional' and 'Compatible'.

The DoE recognises that there may be special circumstances which may occasionally result in an **'Incompatible'** land use receiving approval. Where planning decisions result in this outcome it is important for project proponents to have demonstrated an overriding community benefit and that the land use will not increase the risk of contamination to the PDWSA. The DoE expects to have significant, early involvement in planning decisions of this nature to maximise the protection of the drinking water resource. It should be noted that where a water source is the sole supply for a community, or has a particularly high strategic value for the supply of drinking water, then it would be difficult to understand how that source might be put at any risk of contamination.

Detailed information on water quality protection issues and recommended best management practices for **'Compatible with conditions'** land uses are being developed in approved environmental policy, codes of practice, management guidelines and water quality protection notes. These documents, along with the most recent version of this note, can be found on the DoE Internet site <http://www.environment.wa.gov.au>. Information on land use and development regulation within PDWSAs can also be obtained from DoE's regional offices.

The DoE's Water Source Protection Branch, presently located in East Perth, is custodian of this water quality protection note and will provide detailed advice on its application and coordinate any suggested amendments.

**Diagram 1: Assessment of potential priority classification areas and protection zones**



**Legend**

- A. The location of PDWSAs can be found in DoE’s Drinking Water Source Protection Assessments and Plans or through your regional DoE office, Local Government office, Water Corporation or from the Department for Planning and Infrastructure.
- B. Strategically significant sources and potential contamination from land uses close to drinking water reservoirs or abstraction bores are considered first, due to these involving the highest risk of contamination reaching consumers.
- C. Current zoning or land use information is available from your Local Government office.
- D. Government land is protected to achieve the highest level of safety for drinking water in all parts of a catchment through a Priority 1 classification, wherever this is reasonable and practicable.

## Existing approved land uses

Many land uses covered in this note may have been legally established prior to establishment/ gazettal of the PDWSA or modern protection measures being required. The DoE policy is that existing approved land uses/ activities can continue at their presently approved level, provided they operate lawfully. Where necessary, negotiations may be arranged with land owners to acquire property rights in P1 source protection areas. Where practical, this agency will also negotiate with the operators of existing 'Incompatible', or 'Compatible with conditions' activities to implement environmental management practices that minimise risks to water sources.

## Proposed land uses

After reading this protection note, please view the DoE Internet site and/or contact your nearest DoE Regional Office for advice on the location of PDWSAs, priority classification areas, and reservoir or wellhead protection zones. You may discuss with DoE staff any proposed land use activities that may affect water resources. The early identification of water resource protection issues in development stages of land use planning proposals is recommended in both the June 2003 *Statement of Planning Policy for Public Drinking Water Sources* and proposed *Water Resources Policy* by the Western Australian Planning Commission.

## Definition of terms used in the following tables

**'Acceptable'** (equivalent to 'compatible' in previous version of this note)- means the land use is accepted by DoE as not likely to harm the drinking water source, and is consistent with the management objectives of that priority classification. The adoption of best practice environmental management methods for new proposals to protect water quality is expected. Existing land users are also encouraged to adopt best practice environmental management methods to help protect water quality. These land uses generally do not need referral to the DoE.

**'Compatible with conditions'** (equivalent to 'conditional' in previous version of this note) - means the land use is likely to be accepted by DoE as not likely to harm the drinking water source, (and is consistent with the management objectives of the priority classification) provided best environmental management practices are used. This may result in the application of 'specific conditions' (via the planning or environmental approval processes) that must be complied with to ensure the water quality objective of the priority area is maintained.

Land uses described as 'Compatible with conditions' need ONLY to be referred to DoE for assessment and a written response if the activity does not follow recommendations endorsed by DoE such as those made in policy, environmental management guidelines, protection notes; Ministerial Conditions, Works Approvals, Licenses or agreements (e.g. a 'Memorandum of Understanding' developed between any Local Government and DoE).

**'Incompatible'**- means the land use is UNACCEPTABLE to DoE as it does not meet the management objectives of the priority classification area. DoE will normally oppose approval of these land uses through the planning decision making process and under legislation administered by DoE. If planning decisions are made to approve these land uses (e.g. as a consequence of a planning appeals process), then DoE should be advised of that decision and have been directly involved in providing advice to the planning decision makers on water quality protection issues. It should be noted that contentious proposals may be referred to the EPA for Environmental Impact Assessment under the *Environmental Protection Act 1986*.

**'Extensive'**- means limited additional inputs beyond those supplied by nature are required to support the land use, e.g. for agriculture- animal feed supplements only during seasonal dry periods, or during the final preparation of stock for the market.

**'Intensive'**- means regular additional inputs are required to support the desired land use, e.g. for agriculture-irrigation, fertilisers, pesticides, or non-forage animal feeding dominantes.

## Interpretation of land use recommendations for planning schemes and development approvals

When using the following land use compatibility tables to guide planning schemes and development approval decisions, the following relationships should be used:

- a) Where the table identifies a land use as '**Acceptable**', this use is permitted by DoE within that priority classification area. It may be identified as a '**P**' (permitted) use in a scheme, providing the use complies with the relevant development standards and requirements of the planning scheme.
- b) Where the table identifies a use as '**Compatible with conditions**', this use should be a discretionary use within the priority classification area and should be identified as either a '**D**' or '**A**' (after special notice) use in the scheme. Proposals for '**Compatible with conditions**' uses should ONLY be referred to DoE for assessment and response if they do not meet existing agency policy, guidelines or protection note measures, unless prior agreement has been made between a specific local government and DoE on alternative measures.
- c) Relevant environmental management guidelines, codes of practice, water quality protection notes or agreements should be used in the first instance to define DoE's position on any land-use and limit the need to refer proposals to the DoE. Where these do not exist, site specific advice may be provided by the DoE.
- d) Where the table identifies a use as '**Incompatible**', that use should not be permitted within that priority source protection area, and should be identified as an '**X**' (unacceptable use) in the scheme.

Where the table does not include a proposed land use that could affect water quality, that use should be considered to be '**Incompatible**' until the proponent can demonstrate that it meets the drinking water quality protection objective of the designated priority classification area. Specific advice on the proposed land use should be obtained from the DoE's Water Source Protection Branch.

If the land use planning approval process supports a proposal that is inconsistent with this water quality protection note, then DoE Water Source Protection Branch should be advised of this situation and the reasons for that decision. This advice will trigger DoE's assessment of the significance/ consequence of that decision to the drinking water source and the outcome will be considered in future strategies for water quality protection, and in the periodic review and update of this note. A means to ensure the DoE's effective early involvement with such cases is currently being developed.

### Tables defining compatibility of various land uses within PDWSA

It is important to note that this table provides the DoE's recommended compatibility of land uses for the current zoning of land. It must not be used to support rezoning of land to provide for more intensive land uses. For example, although P3 areas provide for high density urban development when the land is already zoned Urban or Urban deferred, this Table must not be read to justify a zoning change within P3 areas to allow for high density urbanisation of rural zoned land.

Model Scheme Text (MST) land uses are shown in **bold** in the first column. Definitions covered in the MST (see note 23) can also be found in the *Town Planning Amendment Regulations 1999*.

<b>Model Scheme Text &amp; interpreted type of land use</b>	<b>P1 areas</b>	<b>P2 areas</b>	<b>P3 areas</b>
<b>Agriculture– extensive</b>			
- pastoral leases	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable	Acceptable
- floriculture (non irrigated), stock grazing (excluding pastoral leases) and broad hectare cropping,	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see notes 11, 12)	Acceptable
<b>Agriculture- intensive</b>			
- aquaculture (fish, plants and crustaceans)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- orchards; production nurseries– potted plants; viticulture– wine and table grapes	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
- floriculture; market gardens (see note 24); turf farms	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- hydroponic plant growing	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- plant nurseries / garden centres	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable
<b>Agro-forestry</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
<b>Amusement parlour</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Animal establishment</b>			
- animal saleyards and stockyards (see note 13)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)
- apiaries	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable	Acceptable
- catteries	Incompatible	Acceptable	Acceptable
- dairy sheds	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see notes 2, 3, 12)	Compatible with conditions (see note 3)
- dog kennels	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- equestrian centres (see note 17)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable
- feedlots, intensive outdoor livestock holding	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- stables (see note 18)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
<b>Animal husbandry- intensive</b>			
- piggeries	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- poultry farming - housed	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
<b>Bed and breakfast</b> (accommodating a maximum of 6 guests)	Compatible with conditions (see notes 6, 16)	Acceptable (see note 23)	Acceptable
- farm stay accommodation, rural chalets)	Compatible with conditions (see notes 6, 16)	Compatible with conditions (see note 4)	Acceptable
<b>Betting agency</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Caravan park</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Caretakers dwelling</b>	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
<b>Car park</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable
<b>Cemeteries</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions

<b>Model Scheme Text &amp; interpreted type of land use</b>	<b>P1 areas</b>	<b>P2 areas</b>	<b>P3 areas</b>
<b>Child care premises</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Cinema/ theatre</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Civic use</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Club premises</b>			
- sporting or recreation clubs	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable (see note 1)
- health centres	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Community purpose</b>			
- community halls	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable
- irrigated golf courses or recreational parks	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 11)
- motor-sports (permanent racing facilities)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- public swimming pools/ aquatic centres	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- rifle ranges	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
<b>Consulting rooms</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Convenience store</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Corrective institution</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Educational establishment</b>			
- community education centres, scientific research institution	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
- primary / secondary schools, tertiary education facilities	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Exhibition centre</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Family day care</b>	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 19)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Fast food outlet</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Forestry</b> (native forest/ silviculture/ tree farming)	Compatible with conditions (see note 11)	Compatible with conditions (see note 11)	Acceptable
<b>Fuel depot</b> (storage/ transfer)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>Funeral parlour</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Home business</b>	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 20)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Home occupation</b>	Compatible with conditions (see note 15)	Acceptable (see note 21)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Home office</b>	Compatible with conditions (see note 15)	Acceptable	Acceptable
<b>Home store</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable (see note 1)

<b>Model Scheme Text &amp; interpreted type of land use</b>	<b>P1 areas</b>	<b>P2 areas</b>	<b>P3 areas</b>
<b>Hospital</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Hotel</b> (includes hotels, hostels, resorts)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Industry</b>			
- abattoirs	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- cottage	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
- drinking water treatment plant	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- extractive, includes construction/ mining camps (see note 10)	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- food processing, dairy product factories, breweries	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
- general (chemical manufacture/ formulation, dry cleaners, dye works, laboratories, photo-processors)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
- general (metal production/ finishing, pesticide operator depots, heavy or energy industry, petroleum refineries)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- general (concrete batching, cement products, fertiliser manufacture/ bulk storage, wrecking)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- general (mineral processing)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 9)
- light industry	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
- milk transfer depots	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- mining (includes mineral and energy exploration, oil or gas extraction / decontamination for transport)	Compatible with conditions (see note 9)	Compatible with conditions (see note 9)	Compatible with conditions (see note 9)
- mining (tailings dams)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 9)
- mining (includes construction/ mining camps), (see note 10)	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- rural (animal product rendering works, tanneries, wool scours)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- rural (farm supply centres, manure stockpiling/ processing facilities)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions
- rural (forestry products processing– chip mills, pulp/ paper, timber preservation, wood/ fibre works, composting/ soil blending - commercial)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- service industry	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>Landfill (solid waste disposal)</b>			
- class I (refer also to 'Storage - used tyres' advice)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- class II or III	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- class IV or V	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
<b>Lunch bar</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Major transport infrastructure</b> (roads, railways)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 14)	Acceptable
<b>Marina</b> (includes boat moorings and servicing)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>Marine filling station</b> (boat fuelling)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions

<b>Model Scheme Text &amp; interpreted type of land use</b>	<b>P1 areas</b>	<b>P2 areas</b>	<b>P3 areas</b>
<b>Market</b> (food; general produce; second-hand goods)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Medical centre</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Motel</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Motor vehicle, boat or caravan sales</b> (sales yards)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Motor vehicle repair</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>Motor vehicle wash</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>National and regional parks and nature reserves</b>	Acceptable	Acceptable	Acceptable
<b>Night club</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Office</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Park home park</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Place of worship</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Plantation</b>	Compatible with conditions (see note 11)	Compatible with conditions (see note 11)	Acceptable
<b>Reception centre</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Recreation</b> – private (within non-designated recreation areas on Crown land)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable
<b>Residential building</b>			
- house	Compatible with conditions (see note 16)	Acceptable (see note 4)	Acceptable (see note 1)
- group dwellings (aged and dependent persons)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Restaurant</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Restricted premises</b> (adult interests)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Rural pursuit</b>	See Agriculture, Animal establishment or husbandry		
<b>Service station</b> (includes aircraft, automotive repairs, boats, mechanical plant, service stations at transport and municipal works depots)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (refer to note 1)
<b>Shop</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Showroom</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Storage</b>			
- used tyres (see note 22)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
- chemical storage in under ground tanks	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- chemical storage in above ground tanks	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
<b>Tavern</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Telecommunications infrastructure</b>	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
<b>Toilet blocks and change rooms</b>	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
<b>Trade display</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)

Model Scheme Text & interpreted type of land use	P1 areas	P2 areas	P3 areas
<b>Veterinary centre</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Warehouse</b>	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 2)	Compatible with conditions (see note 1)
<b>Waste transfer station</b> (includes recycling depots)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
<b>Wastewater infrastructure</b>			
- sewerage – gravity sewers	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable
- sewerage – pressure mains	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Acceptable
- sewer pump stations	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions	Compatible with conditions
- treatment plants, wastewater disposal to land	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions
- wastewater injection into the ground (see note 25)	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
Water treatment plants (drinking)	See Industry		
<b>Winery</b> (includes wine tasting facilities)	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see notes 3 & 5)	Compatible with conditions (see note 3)

### Table recommending compatibility of land subdivision within PDWSA:

Note - This table reflects the recommended size of a subdivision based on the existing zoning and the priority classification area status of land. It should be noted that Town Planning Scheme provisions for specific zones and reserves will take precedent over the following recommended lot sizes.

Form of subdivision (specific to current zoning)	P1 areas	P2 areas	P3 areas
<b>Rural subdivision</b>			
- to a lot size of 4 hectares or greater	Incompatible	Acceptable	Acceptable
- to a lot size less than 4 hectares	Incompatible	Incompatible	Incompatible
<b>Special rural subdivision</b>			
- to a lot size of 2 hectares or greater	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see notes 7 & 8)	Compatible with conditions (see note 8)
- to a lot size between 1 and 2 hectares	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see notes 7 & 8)
- to a lot size less than 1 hectare	Incompatible	Incompatible	Compatible with conditions (see note 7)
<b>Urban subdivision</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)
<b>Industrial subdivision</b>	Incompatible	Incompatible	Acceptable (see note 1)

### Explanatory notes related to land uses described the tables:

The following notes provide interpretive information based on the scale or type of development described in the preceding tables. They do not list all the conditions that could apply to any activity or development.

1. Must be connected to deep sewerage, except where exemptions apply under State Government Sewerage Policy. The Policy recognises that sewer connection may be impractical in some areas. Under these circumstances maximum wastewater loadings (based on people/ hectare) apply linked to the management Priority of the site.

2. The land use is normally incompatible, but may be conditionally approved where this facility is consistent with approved State and local government planning strategies or schemes.
3. The land use must incorporate best environmental management practices compatible with the management strategy for the designated priority area defined in the relevant source protection plan.
4. In Priority 2 areas: conditions may apply to density of dwellings (i.e. hectares per dwelling).
5. Size of the grape crush shall not exceed 500 tonnes per year.
6. May be approved if occupancy is of equivalent size to a single dwelling household (i.e. less than 10 people– defined by capacity of a septic tank based on-site wastewater treatment system).
7. An average, rather than minimum, lot size may be accepted if the proponent can demonstrate that the water quality objectives of the source protection area are met, and caveats/memorials are placed on titles of specified blocks stating that further subdivision shall not occur.
8. Lots should only be created where land capability assessment shows that effective on-site soakage of treated wastewater can be achieved. Conditions apply to siting of wastewater disposal systems in areas with poor land drainage and/ or a shallow depth to groundwater, animals are held or fertiliser is applied. Alternative wastewater treatment systems, where approved by the Department of Health, may be accepted with ongoing maintenance requirements.
9. Conditions are likely to be placed via a Department of Industry and Resources mineral tenement lease, and / or as a result of Minister for the Environment’s approval after an Environmental Impact Assessment.
10. Conditions apply to the storage of fuels and chemicals, the depth of excavation related to the water table and rehabilitation criteria. Underground fuel or chemical storage tanks are prohibited via DoE by-laws in Priority 1 and 2 areas within Underground Water Pollution Control Areas.
11. Conditions apply to regulate fertiliser and pesticide application.
12. Can be approved if animal stocking levels (animals per hectare, guided by the Department of Agriculture’s stocking rate guidelines) are consistent with the priority source protection area objectives.
13. This does not include stockyards occasionally used on farms or pastoral leases for animal husbandry.
14. Conditions may be imposed to cover design, construction of infrastructure and the types of goods.
15. May only be approved if *Home Occupation* relates to an existing residence.
16. Limited to one residential building per property.
17. Includes land or buildings dominantly used for the showing, competition or training of horses, and riding schools.
18. Includes any land, building or structure used for equine (e.g. horses, asses, mules and donkeys) housing, keeping and feeding and associated activities.
19. In accordance with *Community Services (Child Care) Regulations 1988: A child care service provided to a child in a private dwelling in a family of or domestic environment. No more than 5 children of pre-school age and no more than 7 children under 12 years old, including the children of the licensee or permit holder.*
20. No more than 2 employees, and the home business occupies an area up to 50 square metres. Compatible if only an office/ administrative business (i.e. overnight parking of only one commercial vehicle, no refuelling or repair/ maintenance of business vehicles, and no activities involving on-site use storage or disposal of chemicals or process wastewater).
21. Employees shall be members of the household, and the home business occupies an area of up to 20 square metres. No provision for refuelling, repair or maintenance of commercial/ business vehicles or on-site use or storage of chemicals.
22. Used tyre use, storage and disposal are subject to *Used Tyre Regulations 1996*, administered by this agency.

23. As defined in the *Model Scheme Text* (1997) or the *Residential Design Codes of Western Australia* (2002) prepared by the Western Australian Planning Commission, and covering local government planning schemes.
24. Applies to the commercial production of horticultural crops e.g. vegetables, flowers and fruit crops grown in contact with the ground. Does not apply to cereal or oil seed crops, perennials e.g. orchards, vineyards, nuts; or any crop grown separate from contact with soils in the natural environment e.g. hydroponics.
25. The use of recycled (reclaimed) water to address the diminishing level of scheme water supply in Western Australia is currently being investigated by Government. The social, environmental, health and economic issues related to this option are significant and need to be further progressed before its applicability in PDWSA is reconsidered.

### **More information or feedback**

More information about recommended best management practices is available in Environmental Management Guidelines and Water Quality Protection Notes for some of the listed land uses. These are available on DoE's Internet site <http://drinkingwater.environment.wa.gov.au> or by contacting DoE regional offices.

We welcome your comments on this note. The note will be updated from time to time as feedback is received or land-use activity standards change. If you wish to discuss this note, please contact DoE Water Source Protection Branch at the Hyatt Centre in East Perth. Phone: (08) 9278 0300 (business hours); Fax: (08) 9278 0585; or E-mail: use {feedback} section at DoE Internet address <http://www.environment.wa.gov.au> citing the topic and version.



Department of  
Environment

Level 2, Hyatt Centre  
3 Plain Street, East Perth  
Western Australia 6004  
Telephone: (08) 9278 0300  
[www.environment.wa.gov.au](http://www.environment.wa.gov.au)


## Appendix 2 Water Quality

The Water Corporation has monitored the water quality from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam in accordance with the Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG) and interpretations agreed to with the Department of Health (DoH). The drinking water criteria that have been monitored together with ADWG health and aesthetic guideline values are available from the Water Corporation on request.

### MICROBIOLOGICAL CONTAMINANTS

Microbiological testing of the raw water entering Pemberton Water Treatment Plant from Lefroy Brook Weir has been conducted on a monthly to weekly basis since January 2001. Thermotolerant coliform counts are used as an indicator of the degree of faecal contamination of the raw water from warm-blooded animals. A count less than 20 colony forming units (cfu) per 100 mL is typically associated with low levels of faecal contamination from indigenous animals and is used as a microbiological contamination benchmark (WHO, 1996).

Positive thermotolerant coliform counts were recorded in 63% of the raw water (see graph below). Approximately 33 % of the positive samples had thermotolerant coliform counts greater than 20 cfu/100 mL with the highest recorded value being 100 cfu/100 mL. These high levels indicate contamination of the water source with faecal matter from the catchment.



The raw water is treated and disinfected with chlorine to ensure the microbiological quality of the drinking water supplied to consumers.

## HEALTH RELATED CHEMICAL WATER QUALITY DATA

Raw water from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam is analysed for health related chemicals. Health related chemicals include inorganics, heavy metals, industrial hydrocarbons and pesticides. Health related water quality parameters that have been measured at detectable levels in the sources between September 1998 and October 2003 are summarised in the following table. All values are in milligrams per litre (mg/L) for inorganic chemicals and micrograms per litre (µg/L) for organic chemicals.

Parameter	Range of Monitored Values Min-Max Median		1996 ADWG Health Value*
	Lefroy Brook Weir	Big Brook Dam	
<b>Metals</b>			
Barium	0.02 – 0.025 0.02	Not measured	0.7 mg/L
Boron	No Detection – 0.02 0.02	Not measured	4 mg/L
Manganese (unfiltered)	0.02 – 0.7 0.036	0.02 – 0.055 0.028	0.5 mg/L
<b>Inorganics</b>			
Nitrite + Nitrate (as N)	0.19 – 0.71 0.29	0.067 – 0.3 0.29	11.3 mg/L

\*A health guideline value is the concentration or measure of a water quality characteristic that, based on present knowledge, does not result in any significant risk to the health of the consumer over a lifetime of consumption.

Manganese levels in the raw water from Lefroy Brook Weir have been measured in excess of the ADWG health guideline value on two occasions in the last 5 years. Typically levels are well within the guideline and present no significant health risk. Monitoring of health parameters is ongoing.

## AESTHETIC WATER QUALITY DATA

Aesthetic water quality analyses for raw water from Lefroy Brook Weir and Big Brook Dam are summarised in the following table. The values are taken from ongoing raw water monitoring for the period September 1998 to October 2003. All values are in milligrams per litre (mg/L) unless stated otherwise. The water quality parameters that have on occasion exceeded the ADWG aesthetic guideline for supplied drinking water are shaded.

Parameter	Range of Monitored Values Min-Max Medium		1996 ADWG Aesthetic Value
	Lefroy Brook Weir	Big Brook Dam	
TDS	110 - 335 187	140 – 230 192	1 000 mg/L
Hardness (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	25 - 94 44.5	25 - 50 43	200 mg/L
Turbidity	0.2 - 22 2	0.8 - 11 2.6	5 NTU
pH	6.2 – 8.9 7.2	6.5 – 7.8 7.2	6.5-8.5
Colour	1 - 50 14	4 - 20 9	15 TCU
Iron (unfiltered)	0.006 – 4.8 0.48	0.36 – 0.6 0.4	0.3 mg/L
Manganese (unfiltered)	0.002 – 0.7 0.037	0.012 – 0.055 0.028	0.1 mg/L
Aluminium (unfiltered)	0.016 - 10 0.16	0.028 - 3 0.14	-

Raw water from Lefroy Brook Weir typically exceeds the ADWG aesthetic guideline values for turbidity, colour, iron and manganese. Raw water from Big Brook Dam also occasionally exceeds the guidelines for these parameters, however to a lesser extent. Intensification of land uses in the catchment is often associated with deterioration in raw water quality.

Raw water from Lefroy Brook Weir is treated using flocculation and filtration, followed by chlorination prior to being supplied as drinking water. The drinking water supplied to Pemberton is of good quality and complies with ADWG microbiological, health and aesthetic requirements.

## Appendix 3

## Examples of Protection Strategies (Used in existing Drinking Water Source Protection Plans)

Activity	Recommended Protection Strategies
<i>State owned (public) land (P1 source protection)</i>	
Reserves State Forest Unallocated	<p><i>Acceptable with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Encourage government agency that manages the land to include provisions for water quality protection.</li> <li>• Review agency management plans regularly to ensure water quality protection objectives met.</li> <li>• Inspect protection measures on-site.</li> </ul>
Timber Production (State Forest)	<p><i>Acceptable with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure compliance with the Contractor's Timber Harvesting Manual for water quality protection.</li> <li>• Review 1 year and 5 year harvesting plans to ensure water quality protection objectives are met.</li> <li>• Inspect protection measures on-site.</li> </ul>
Apiarists Wildflower picking Seed collection Firewood collection	<p><i>Acceptable activity with conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Activities to be restricted to outside proposed RPZ and away from feeder streams.</li> <li>• Apply conditions for Apiarists, Wildflower Picking and Seed collection that meet water quality protection objectives.</li> <li>• Promote casual firewood collection areas outside catchment area.</li> <li>• Firewood collection is not authorised in vested Reserves.</li> </ul>
Roads	<p><i>Acceptable with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review road maintenance practices and develop a plan to minimise risk to water quality.</li> <li>• Conduct risk assessment survey for transport of fuel and chemicals. Place signs along road with an emergency contact number for spills.</li> <li>• Construct sumps at major stream crossings.</li> <li>• Ensure emergency response process is in place and local emergency management advisory committee is aware of management requirements for drinking water catchment.</li> </ul>
Off-road vehicle use	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove site as a destination in CALM "4WD Days Out of Perth" publication.</li> <li>• Recognise activity in regional recreation plan and look at alternative sites. Encourage involvement in organised events.</li> <li>• Use signage to promote awareness that off-road driving is not permitted.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance to control off-road driving in the catchment.</li> </ul>
Bushwalking	<p><i>Acceptable activity with conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure trails outside RPZ, away from streams feeding into reservoir, and cross-streams where culverts and / or bridges are established.</li> <li>• Compliance with the Department of Environment's Policy and Guidelines for Recreation within Public Drinking Water Source Areas on Crown Land (Recreation Policy), available via <a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>.</li> <li>• Promote bushwalking opportunities as part of a regional recreational plan.</li> <li>• Use signage as education tool.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance.</li> <li>• Require organised groups to obtain approval for events</li> </ul>
Picnicking	<p><i>Acceptable activity with conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Locate picnic sites outside the proposed RPZ.</li> <li>• Promote use of controlled picnic away from watercourses.</li> <li>• Promote picnicking opportunities as part of a regional recreational plan.</li> <li>• Prohibit dogs through education/signs, promotional material and surveillance.</li> <li>• Compliance with DoE's Recreation Policy available via <a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>.</li> </ul>
Horse riding events	<p><i>Acceptable with Best Management Practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An environmental management plan developed for each event, addressing water quality protection measures. Approval for each event subject to implementation and review of plan.</li> <li>• Camping will be restricted to specific sites as developed in regional recreation plan.</li> <li>• All events to be staged on roads and trails. Stream crossings to be on made roads at culverts or bridges.</li> <li>• Monitor existing events to identify water quality risks to be addressed in the environmental management plan.</li> <li>• No new events to operate in the catchment.</li> <li>• Refer to Environmental Guidelines for Horse Facilities and Activities, available via <a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a> &gt;.</li> </ul>

Activity	Recommended Protection Strategies
Hunting	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Catchment to be closed to hunting through the CAWS Act and MWSSDB 1909 By-Laws.</li> <li>• Place signs throughout catchment indicating uncontrolled hunting is illegal.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance of the catchment.</li> <li>• Control feral animal through managed program.</li> </ul>
Swimming	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase public awareness that swimming is prohibited under the CAWS Act By-laws.</li> <li>• Signs in the catchment</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance &amp; by-law enforcement.</li> <li>• Compliance with DoE's Recreation Policy, available via &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;.</li> </ul>
Fishing Marroning	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase public awareness that fishing and marroning is prohibited under the CAWS Act By-laws.</li> <li>• Place signs throughout catchment indicating fishing and marroning is not permitted.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance &amp; by-law enforcement.</li> <li>• Signs in the catchment</li> <li>• Compliance with DoE's Recreation Policy, available via &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;.</li> </ul>
Boating	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase public awareness that boating is prohibited under the CAWS Act By-laws.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance &amp; by-law enforcement.</li> <li>• Signs in the catchment</li> <li>• Compliance with the DoE's Recreation Policy available via &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;.</li> </ul>
Motor vehicle rallies Including: Rally Australia Motor bike events	<p><i>Acceptable with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No new rallies to operate in the catchment.</li> <li>• An environmental management plan developed for each event, addressing water quality protection measures. Approval for each event subject to implementation and review of plan. Compliance with DoE's Recreation Policy available via &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;.</li> </ul>
Military activities	<p><i>Acceptable activity with conditions</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restrict military training to outside of the RPZ.</li> <li>• Ensure approval for military activities contains conditions for water quality protection.</li> <li>• Undertake discussions with military to investigate the use of alternative areas.</li> <li>• Undertake surveillance to ensure compliance with approval.</li> </ul>
Water supply construction	<p><i>Acceptable with Best Management Practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure water quality risk addressed in EMP.</li> <li>• Work with contractors on-site and advice on issues related to water quality protection.</li> <li>• Monitor turbidity and undertake remediation if monitoring shows adverse impact.</li> </ul>
<b>Private Land (P1 source protection)</b>	
	<p><i>Long term goal of crown ownership of private land</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowners can continue current activities with best practices being encouraged (refer to Quality Protection information. &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;)</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land uses through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Offer landowners opportunity to sell or swap their land. Purchased land to become Crown Reserve and re-vegetated. Long-term Crown ownership is preferable</li> </ul>
<b>Private Land - Rural (P2 source protection)</b>	
Cropping and grazing Tree farming Viticulture	<p><i>Acceptable with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowners continue current activities with best practices being encouraged (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;)</li> <li>• Ensure Town Planning Scheme adequately controls development.</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land uses through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Promote water quality protection.</li> </ul>
Land clearing for broadacre farming in Clearing Control Catchments	<p><i>Manage as non-conforming land use</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowner can continue current activities (consistent with <i>Environmental Protection Act 1986</i> and <i>Country Area Water Supply Act 1947</i> approvals), with best management practices being encouraged</li> <li>• Continue to support changes in land use within existing approvals that reduce salinisation.</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land uses through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Continue re-vegetation initiatives under clearing control legislation. Land transferred to Crown ownership to be re-vegetated.</li> </ul>

Activity	Recommended Protection Strategies
Rural residential	<p><i>Maintain existing planning controls</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure the Special Provisions for the Rural Residential Zone control development.</li> <li>• Encourage landowners to adopt best management practices for permitted activities (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land use through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Support changes within existing approvals that reduce groundwater contamination risks.</li> <li>• Encourage connection to deep sewerage through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Promote water quality protection.</li> </ul>
Rural development Including: Special rural zones Rural retreats Hobby farms Cottage industries Chalets Bed and breakfasts and farmstays	<p><i>Conditional with best management practices</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowners can continue current activities with best practices being encouraged (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Ensure Town Planning Scheme adequately controls development.</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land uses through planning approval process.</li> </ul>
<b>Private Land - (P3 source protection)</b>	
	<p><i>Acceptable with controls</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowners can continue current activities, with best practices being encouraged (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Ensure Town Planning Scheme adequately controls development.</li> <li>• Further subdivision and land use to be consistent with water quality objectives.</li> <li>• Oppose incompatible land uses through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Encourage connection to deep sewerage through planning approval process.</li> </ul>
Power stations	<p><i>Manage as non-conforming land use</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowner can continue current activities, with best management practices being encouraged.</li> <li>• Support changes in land use within existing approvals that reduce groundwater contamination risks.</li> </ul>
Disused depots Including: Water Corporation Western Power Shire	<p><i>Unacceptable in current condition</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove all infrastructure and contaminant threats including septic system and decontaminate site.</li> <li>• Return site to natural bushland.</li> </ul>
Rubbish disposal	<p><i>Unacceptable activity</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Encourage local council to close site and undertake remediation to decontaminate site.</li> <li>• Return site to natural bushland.</li> </ul>
Horticulture	<p><i>Maintain existing planning controls</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowners can continue current activities with best management practices being encouraged (refer to environmental guidelines for horticulture and/or viticulture via &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land use through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Support changes in land use within existing approvals that reduce groundwater contamination risks.</li> </ul>
Residential	<p><i>Acceptable activity with controls</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure Town Planning Scheme adequately controls development (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Encourage connection to deep sewerage through planning approval process.</li> <li>• Further subdivision to be consistent with Draft Country Sewerage Policy 2003.</li> <li>• Promote water quality protection.</li> </ul>
Industrial and commercial sites.	<p><i>Acceptable activity with controls</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landowner can continue current activities. They are also encouraged to upgrade existing facilities to meet DoE recommendations (refer to Quality Protection information &lt;<a href="http://www.environment.wa.gov.au">www.environment.wa.gov.au</a>&gt;).</li> <li>• Oppose intensification of land use through planning approval process (eg those activities not acceptable in P3 areas).</li> <li>• Support changes in land use within existing approvals that reduce contamination risks.</li> </ul>

## **Appendix 4**

## **DoE WQPN Protecting Public Drinking Water Source Areas**

Note WQPN subject to change. Refer to the DoE website  
<<http://www.environment.wa.gov.au>> for latest version.

# Water Quality Protection Note

## Protecting Public Drinking Water Source Areas

### Introduction

**This agency is the custodian of all of the State's water resources. Our role is to ensure the State's water resources are managed to support sustainable development and conservation of the environment for the long-term benefit of the community.**

Next to food, water is the most essential element for life, and our aim is to protect Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSA). Achieving this aim will provide consumers with reliably 'safe, good quality drinking water' to protect public health for now and into the future at a reasonable cost to consumers.

This note provides an overview of policy and processes used to protect PDWSA supplying drinking water to major population centres in Western Australia. Generally, private sources supplying drinking water to a household, business or remote aboriginal community are not subject to the same level of assessment, sampling, treatment and reporting requirements. Accordingly, they are not directly addressed in this protection note. Nonetheless, the approaches described in this note are still recommended for private sources. For example, the Water Corporation have a number of significant private drinking water source areas (eg 'roaded' catchments) that they operate consistent with PDWSA policy and processes.

The former State Government agencies the *Department of Environmental Protection* and *Water and Rivers Commission* are presently being combined to form the *Department of Environment*. This process will not be complete until enabling legislation has been passed by Parliament and proclaimed. This note aims to present a generic 'combined agency' position on the nominated topic.

### Who is involved in protecting our drinking water supplies?

Responsibility for the condition (quality) and availability (quantity) of our drinking water must be shared by the community, land owners/developers, industry, agriculture, local government, water service providers and the State government. All of these groups play a significant role in the development of Drinking Water Source Protection Plans (DWSPP) for PDWSAs (also called drinking water catchments in this note). They also may be involved in the implementation of the recommendations in those plans. Their direct and ongoing involvement in the protection of our drinking water catchments is essential to achieve a successful outcome.

The Department of Environment (DOE), is primarily responsible for defining, proclaiming and protecting the catchments of Public Drinking Water Source Areas (PDWSAs). The PDWSAs are made up of any area proclaimed to protect public drinking water source catchments. These areas are proclaimed as Water Reserves, Catchment Areas or Underground Water Pollution Control Areas under the *Metropolitan Water Supply, Sewerage and Drainage Act 1909*, and Water Reserves or Catchment Areas under the *Country Areas Water Supply Act 1947*.

The DOE has responsibility to administer the State's catchment protection legislation. This administration includes:

- undertaking and facilitating effective by-law enforcement and catchment surveillance;
- the assessment and permitting of land use developments or activities;
- negotiating protection mechanisms in the land use planning process; and
- advising on the compatibility of land development and use activities.

The DOE also has responsibility for preparing policies and guidelines, drinking water source protection assessments and plans and advising other decision-making agencies on source protection requirements. The Department promotes a coordinated approach to catchment protection encompassing a variety of related measures including regional and local land use planning; health; and environmental legislation.

Where public health is concerned, the Department of Health has primary responsibility. The Department of Health's role is to minimise human exposure to environmental health hazards that pose or have the potential to pose a health risk and to reduce the incidents and impact of communicable disease. To safeguard against unhealthy drinking water, the Department of Health works closely with the DOE and individual Water Service Providers. The Department of Health also chairs an inter-agency committee, called the "Advisory Committee for the Purity of Water", established in 1925 and charged with the ongoing responsibility of advising the State on drinking water protection issues. The Office of Water Regulation is another government agency with a key role in regulating drinking water supply issues. It issues licences to individual Water Service Providers such as the Water Corporation, Aqwest (Bunbury) and Busselton Water Board.

The Water Corporation is the largest Water Service Provider in WA, and it was formed in the mid 1990's after the split of the former Water Authority of Western Australia as part of the COAG Water Industry Reform initiatives. The Corporation is the major licensed Water Service Provider in Western Australia, supplying the Perth metropolitan area as well as a further 230 towns across the State. It is a corporation, with the state government being the sole shareholder, and is subject to corporation law. It is managed by a board of directors including the Managing Director (its CEO). The Corporation is required to return a dividend on the Government's investment in the Corporation's assets and in return receives Customer Service Obligation (CSO) payments to subsidise uneconomic services that are required to be provided by the Government. The Corporation also pays federal tax equivalents to the State Government in accordance with the COAG reform agreement.

Source Protection Operational Agreements exist between the DOE and the Water Corporation, which assign roles in catchment protection, clarify responsibilities in catchment protection and ensure the process is carried out effectively. Under the legislation, the DOE may delegate certain catchment management functions to the Water Corporation (or other water service providers). Delegation is appropriate as the Corporation has a strong vested interest in assuring high quality drinking water from the catchments and is also prepared to resource catchment management functions. Currently, delegated functions relate to catchment surveillance, enforcing by-laws regarding transient catchment activities, entry onto land and catchment management planning. The extent of delegated responsibilities may vary between catchments.

## Why should we protect our drinking water supplies?

Drinking water should be safe to drink and aesthetically pleasing. Ideally, it should be clear, colourless, pleasant tasting and contain no harmful chemicals or disease-causing microbes. To keep drinking water clean it is important to protect both our surface and underground drinking water sources (e.g. surface dams and groundwater) and the catchments in which they are located.

This advice deals with the water consumed in homes and provided by licensed Water Service Providers (often referred to as 'scheme' supplies). These WSP are responsible for water treatment (including disinfection) and distribution services to the community. Advice on alternative (potentially less safe) drinking water sources, such as private bores or rainwater tanks, is available in other documents. As a rule neither the Department of Health nor DOE recommend the use of rainwater or private bore water for drinking water purposes where a scheme water source is available. This is because the catchments of these other sources are generally not protected from contamination and they are not analysed or treated to meet the relevant health guidelines for drinking water. Such sources can however be useful for non-potable uses such as in washing machines, toilets or for gardens. If a scheme supply is not available, then it is important that the consumer implements the necessary measures to ensure their drinking water source is safe to drink (i.e. arrange water analyses and treatment as required).

In the mid 1990's, the Council of Australian Government reforms process took an initiative to pursue the sustainable use of water resources by protecting and enhancing their quality, while maintaining economic and social development. This was achieved through the development of a National Water Quality Management Strategy (NWQMS) presently comprising 21 national guideline documents. Two of these focused on drinking water, the *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines-Summary* and the *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, 1996* (an update of the 1987 *Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality in Australia*). The *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, 1996* (ADWG) recognised water source protection through catchment management as an effective approach to preventing contamination of drinking water sources and undertook to investigate this issue further.

In May 2001, Western Australia supported the NWQMS (including the ADWG) through the launch of its own State Water Quality Management Strategy (SWQMS). In late 2002, the ADWG were updated and released for public comment. The ADWG 2003 have now been finalised and are planned to be released in late 2003. A 'consumer guide' to the ADWG 2003 called *Water made clear* has also been developed to raise awareness of the need to protect drinking water catchments from 'catchment to consumer'.

Roughly half of Perth's water supplies come from surface sources with the remainder harvested from groundwater. In 1994, a Parliamentary Select Committee reported on the issue of Perth's development and groundwater supplies. The Select Committee considered experience from around the world and overwhelmingly concluded, "*an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure*". In his foreword, the chairman of the Select Committee noted: "*experts around the world expressed their envy of our relatively pristine water supply and advised us to protect our groundwater supply at all costs*".

In 2000, the State Legislative Council's *Standing Committee on Ecologically Sustainable Development in relation to the Quality of Perth's Water Supply* expressed confidence in the system managing and operating Perth's water supply. The Standing Committee noted, however, that various activities posed a contamination risk to water supplies. It found as a "*first priority that water sources be protected through good land use planning*." It also noted that "*Using treatment to deal with contamination is a second-best option. The Committee found support for adopting catchment protection as the major weapon in preventing contamination of water supplies*". In November 2001, in support of this finding, the Western Australian Planning Commission (in consultation with the Water and Rivers Commission) released a *Public Drinking Water Source Policy* for public comment. The Policy was gazetted in June 2003. This policy will guide State and Local Government land use planning decisions in public drinking water catchments.

Although the above committees were reporting on Perth's water supplies, their findings apply to all public drinking water sources in Western Australia. This is especially true when a community is reliant upon a single drinking water resource (such as the groundwater bore network in Kununurra or surface water dam in Quinninup) rather than an integrated series of sources (such as those that supply Perth). Contamination of a single resource from inappropriate land use planning or polluting activities within the catchment can have significant health and economic impacts, which should be avoided.

In February 2003, the Western Australian Government released its State-wide water strategy. Although prepared in response to a number of forums around State focusing on drought, it did however make a significant statement about protecting our public drinking water sources. It stated unequivocally that *recognition of the primacy of water quality in the management of drinking water catchments, to protect the long term sustainability of the resource, will be used to guide catchment management decisions*.

This is interpreted to mean, when managing and protecting any public drinking water source catchment, the dominant consideration must be maintenance of water resource quality and the prevention of contamination risk. This objective in most cases may prevent or constrain further land development.

More recently in September 2003, the Western Australian Government also released its State-sustainability strategy document – "Hope for the future". Drinking water catchments are now recognised as important 'natural resources' together with the other more common natural resources (eg. agriculture, fisheries, forestry, mining, tourism, aquatic systems, coastal and marine environments and rangelands). The 'Vision' in the Strategy is that "Drinking water sources are fully protected for future generations". The Strategy lists the following 'Actions': (number 3.48) that we "Work to ensure all present and future drinking water sources

are protected”; and (number 3.51) that we “Ensure the activities in catchments are actively managed and sustainable...” through “...investigation of the impact of active catchment management strategies that enhance water quality and quantity outcomes...”.

## **What are we protecting the drinking water supplies from?**

Land use planning decisions and recreational or business activities occurring in drinking water catchments can impact on the quality and quantity of drinking water. Where catchments remain covered with native vegetation with little human activity, the risk of contamination is low. However, contamination risks increase with increased human activity.

Potential contaminants may include:

- physical contaminants e.g. colour, foaming agents and suspended solids;
- chemical contaminants e.g. salts, heavy metals and poisons; or
- microbiological contaminants e.g. bacteria, protozoa and pathogenic viruses.

Although many contaminants can be removed by treatment processes, such treatment increases the cost of the water supply, and continuous effective removal of all contaminants is not considered technically or economically feasible. If contamination does occur, the opportunity to locate and develop a replacement source is often limited, and the provision of alternatives, e.g. bottled drinking water, is costly and can only be considered a short-term solution. Stopping contamination before it occurs prevents the need for costly treatment or the development of often more costly alternative sources. It should also be appreciated that there is a substantial ongoing financial cost to be borne in sampling and testing for contaminants if they become prevalent in drinking water sources. The benefits (environmental, social and economic) of avoiding contamination through best management decisions and practices are recognised in the ADWG 2003.

Clearly drinking water quality and safety cannot be taken for granted. Appropriate State and Local Government controls are required in consultation with, and the support of the community and other stakeholders. These controls are needed to manage a number of threats to drinking water areas, including inappropriate:

- land use planning processes and decisions resulting in high risk developments in catchments;
- recreational activities where the impact of human wastes and damage to natural protective measures associated with higher intensity land use is often underestimated; and
- use and/or disposal of chemicals, animal and domestic wastes and pesticides.

We should also appreciate that beyond the actual catchment and water storage area, drinking water that is not properly treated, or which travels through an inadequately maintained distribution system, also poses a serious public health risk.

Several recent events that have occurred nationally and internationally that highlight the importance of protecting drinking water, especially at the source.

The main finding of an inquiry into the well-publicised Sydney Water Crisis in 1998 was that the catchments were seriously compromised by many possible sources of contamination, and that there was insufficient regulatory control to guarantee safe drinking water. The Sydney Water Catchment Authority was set up in response to this event which transferred responsibility for land use decisions within the catchment from the Planning Authority to the new catchment Authority.

In Walkerton (Canada), in 2000 a drinking water catchment related tragedy unfolded where a pathogenic E-coli outbreak resulted in over 2300 cases of illness amongst 4,800 residents, 70 people were hospitalised and 7 deaths were attributed to the outbreak. A judicial inquiry concluded that the likely initial cause of the outbreak was from manure application on farmland (a common practice even in WA) that resulted in bacterial contamination finding its way into the shallow underground water-body which was used to supply drinking water. Other contributing factors to the outbreak included a high rainfall event just prior to the contamination outbreak, and an inadequate disinfectant dose rate and monitoring issues related to the distribution system. It is important to appreciate that the drinking water system at Walkerton operated for

more than 8 years without major incident up until the year 2000. The over-reliance on treatment to provide a safe drinking water supply was highlighted and a new approach adopted that considered both catchment protection and improved treatment (in combination) to provide a more reliably-safe supply to consumers.

## How do we protect public drinking water source areas in WA?

A 'catchment to consumer' multiple barrier approach is used in the management of drinking water quality in Western Australia. Catchment management for protection of the water source (held in storage in surface dams or underground aquifers) is considered the first important barrier. Historically, a heavy reliance was placed on treating water to achieve the desired level of safety, but it is now recognised that treatment alone does not remove all hazards to public health. Therefore, to maximise public health safety effective catchment protection is also essential. Other barriers include:

- selection of an appropriate safe high quality source (where alternatives exist);
- controls over land uses and high risk human activities in catchments underpinned by statutory measures;
- protective undeveloped buffer zones to supply bores, reservoirs and feeder streams;
- catchment protection strategies for education, surveillance, enforcement and monitoring/reporting;
- pre-treatment of drinking water, for example use of detention and settling in reservoirs to induce microbes to die off;
- protection of water storage works, for example water tanks and reservoirs;
- disinfection of drinking water before it enters the distribution system and provision to ensure an adequate disinfectant residual throughout that system;
- maintaining the distribution system as a whole including the pipe system, vermin-proofing of water tanks and preventing back-flow; and
- Promotion of source protection measures in local government planning schemes using the WA Planning Commission's *Statement of Planning Policy– Public Drinking Water Source Policy* (June 2003).

A key process employed by this agency to protect drinking water sources involves the preparation of Drinking Water Source Protection Plans (DWSPP) for the State's PDWSAs.

### Drinking Water Source Protection Plans (and Drinking Water Source Protection Assessments)

Drinking Water Source Protection Plans are a key component of the 'catchment-to-consumer' protection strategy for Western Australia's drinking water supplies. This is reflected in the Government's report "*Securing our water future - A State Water Strategy for Western Australia (2003)*" which states that **water source protection plans should be completed for all public drinking water supply catchments throughout the State**. A DWSPP aims to identify existing and potential threats to a drinking water source and to provide risk management strategies and programs for the ongoing management/protection of that source. They are prepared in consultation with the community, potentially affected stakeholders (especially landowners), local government and the State government. Stakeholders are strongly encouraged to consider the risks and potential consequences of inappropriate land-use planning or human activities in the catchment (e.g contamination of the resource and costs to clean-up or establish a new drinking water source). It should be noted that decisions made following consultation may result in some land use/activity restriction in order to achieve a safe, good quality drinking water supply.

Providing a basis for establishing compatible land uses within PDWSAs, the DWSPP is only one of a suite of measures used by this agency to meet its drinking water protection responsibilities. As at June 2003, there were approximately 139 plans listed for completion. Of this number, 50 are complete and 89 are in production.

While the full suite of DWSPPs await completion, land planners and developers need to be aware of the location of and risks to existing drinking water catchments. To this end the DOE is preparing Drinking Water Source Protection Assessments (DWSPA). These Assessments will provide a broad overview of catchment risks, planning and land uses; and a basic understanding of the drinking water catchment and supply system. They are not intended to include extensive data, but to characterise the drinking water system by providing useful information for decision makers. Generally, the DWSPA will be a desktop assessment

followed by a site visit and discussions with local government. In some circumstances the DWSPA may be all that is required to achieve good land planning/activity controls (e.g. through planning schemes or strategies) for the protection of drinking water source areas. Otherwise, the DWSPA will be considered base information for development of the DWSPP described above.

### Priority classification system

This agency has also implemented policies to protect public drinking water source areas that includes a differential '*priority classification area*' system that includes special 'protection zones' around bores and reservoirs. Through development of a DWSPP (or possibly the DWSPA), land in a PDWSA is identified as a mix of Priority 1 (P1), Priority 2 (P2) or Priority 3 (P3) classification areas, with appropriate protection zones.

**Priority 1 (P1)** source protection areas are defined to ensure that there is **no degradation** of the water source. P1 areas are declared over land where the provision of high quality public drinking water is the prime beneficial land use. P1 areas would typically include land under public ownership but may in a limited number of cases include private land.

P1 areas are managed in accordance with the principle of **risk avoidance**, and hence land development is generally not permitted. Where P1 land is in private ownership this agency may make an offer to the owner to sell their land at agreed market values subject to available funding and priority order purchasing rules. There is no obligation on the owner to sell their land.

**Priority 2 (P2)** areas are defined to ensure that there is **no increased risk of pollution** to the water source. P2 areas are declared over land where low intensity development (such as rural) already exists. Protection of public water supply sources is a high priority relative to other land use values in these areas.

P2 areas are managed in accordance with the principle of **risk minimisation**, and as such only limited conditional development is supported. Such development must be consistent with the protection of waters within the drinking water catchment. A proposed change in land use from a relatively low to a more intensive use may result in contamination of the PDWSA, and would not be supported.

**Priority 3 (P3)** areas are defined where it is practical to **manage the risk of pollution** to the water source, and where water supply sources need to co-exist with other generally existing land uses such as residential, commercial and light industrial developments.

Protection of P3 areas is achieved through **management guidelines** rather than restrictions on land use. Key elements in protection of P3 areas are the provision of deep sewerage and land users using best environmental management practices for their activities. In P3 areas, compared to P1 and P2 areas, it is likely that the direct cost of providing the drinking water to consumers is greater, given the need to monitor and treat the water more comprehensively due to the variety of existing and allowable land uses/risks. If water from P3 areas becomes contaminated, then that water may need to be further treated or an alternative water source found.

In these priority areas there is a strong reliance on landowners, developers, regulators and other users to be acutely aware of the drinking water resource and risks, such that the adoption and implementation of best management practices will help protect the drinking water source. Existing lawfully established but non-conforming land uses in PDWSAs are allowed to continue, however land users will be encouraged to adopt environmentally responsible/best practice land use practices. This agency has prepared a "*Water Quality Protection Note -Land Use Compatibility table in PDWSAs*" that provides guidance on the type of land uses appropriate within P1, P2 and P3 areas.

### Reservoir and wellhead protection zones


As noted above, reservoir protection zones (RPZ) are also defined to protect the surface water source from contamination in the immediate vicinity of reservoirs. Reservoir protection zones consist of up to a 2 kilometre buffer around the top water level of a reservoir and includes the reservoir itself. These zones do not extend outside the catchment area (i.e. downstream from a dam wall). This agency provides a high level

of protection in these zones and does not support land uses or activities that may add to the risk of contamination of the water source. Generally conditions apply in these zones aimed at preventing people from entering the RPZ to avoid the risk of contamination (consistent with the P1 areas).

For underground water sources, well-head protection zones are defined around the abstraction bores and allowable activities/ land uses in these areas are also restricted and subject to approval processes. Well-head protection zones in P1 areas are set at a 500 metre radius around a bore, and in P2 or P3 areas they are set at a 300 metre radius around a bore.

## How are priority classification areas and protection zones determined?

The determination of a priority classification area or protection zone over land in a PDWSA is based on the strategic importance of the land or water source, its zoning, ownership and existing approved land uses/activities. The land use tables in this protection note directly relate to the three types of priority classification areas identified in DWSPP or agreed in Land Use and Water Management Strategy documents. In the absence of a DWSPP, the DOE recommends that planning decisions within any gazetted or proposed PDWSA are guided by DWSPA documents (where they exist) and the 'potential' priority classification area or protection zone status of a proposal identified using the following process flow diagram.



## Legend

- A. The location of PDWSAs can be found in DoE's Drinking Water Source Protection Assessments and Plans or through your regional DoE office, Local Government office, Water Corporation or from the Department for Planning and Infrastructure.
- B. Strategically significant sources and potential contamination from land uses close to drinking water reservoirs or abstraction bores are considered first, due to these involving the highest risk of contamination reaching consumers.
- C. Current zoning or land use information is available from your Local Government office.
- D. Government land is protected to achieve the highest level of safety for drinking water in all parts of a catchment through a Priority 1 classification, wherever this is reasonable and practicable.

## Conclusion

We can improve the availability of 'safe, good quality drinking water' to protect public health if we continue to combine catchment protection and water treatment approaches. This 'catchment to consumer' approach to drinking water protection is the basis of the recently updated *Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 2003*.

Many land uses and activities can pose a risk to water quality, so in undeveloped drinking water catchments strict management controls are proposed to 'avoid the risk' of contaminating the source. In catchments with some level of development, management controls recognise the existing development but may place restrictions on alternative land uses or expansion of existing land uses. This approach looks to 'minimise' or 'manage the risk' of contamination in the catchment. These management controls help protect public health, lower the costs of supplying drinking water to consumers and provide a long term source of safe, good quality drinking water.

## More information

We welcome your thoughts on this note. The note will be updated from time to time as comments are received, or industry standards change.

If you wish to comment on the note or require more information, please contact our Program Manager, Protection Planning (Stephen Watson) at the Resource Quality Branch in our head office in the Hyatt Centre. Phone: (08) 9278 0454 (business hours), Fax: (08) 9278 0585.



Department of  
Environment

Level 2, Hyatt Centre  
3 Plain Street, East Perth  
Western Australia 6004  
Telephone: (08) 9278 0300  
[www.environment.wa.gov.au](http://www.environment.wa.gov.au)